

**AN EXTRINSIC AVERAGE VARIATIONAL METHOD
 $\Phi_{(i)}$ -HARMONIC MAPS AND
 $\Phi_{(i)}$ -SSU MANIFOLDS, $i = 1, 2, 3$**

SHIHSHU WALTER WEI

ABSTRACT. Employing an extrinsic average variational method in the calculus of variations ([45, 43]), we have found multiple large classes of new manifolds with geometric and topological properties in the setting of varied, coupled, generalized types of energy functionals and their associated harmonic maps $u : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$. These newly found manifolds that involved with elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ of eigenvalues of the pullback metric u^*g_N with respect to the domain metric g_M have their interactions with geometry, topology, analysis, partial differential equations, calculus of variations, physics, and are briefly listed in Table 1. Whereas the method have been used, extended or generalized to other situations such as minimal submanifolds and rectifiable currents in a Riemannian manifold ([32, 26]), harmonic maps ([43, 25, 35]), Yang-Mills Fields ([44, 30]), p -harmonic maps ([60]), F -harmonic maps ([2]), Finsler geometry ([40]), etc, in this paper we illuminate the method and show how it works for the energy functional E . Generalizing the author's previous work that every stable harmonic map from an arbitrary compact Riemannian manifold into S^n or $S^n \times S^k$ for $n > 2, k > 2$ is constant and the work of R. Howard and S.W. Wei ([25]) on SU manifolds, we prove two new results (Theorems 10.1 and 10.2) with a remark (Remark 10.3).

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 58E20, 53C21, 53C25.

Key words: $\Phi_{(3)}$ -harmonic maps, extrinsic average variational method, $\Phi_{(3)}$ -SSU manifold.

Article history:

Received: November 10, 2023

Received in revised form: November 22, 2023

Accepted: November 24, 2023

1. PHILOSOPHICAL BACKGROUND

An ancient wisdom goes “The Tao of Heaven is to diminish superabundance, so as to supplement deficiency.” This is due to a legendary sage Lao Tzu in his book Tao Te Ching. It is a natural and precious phenomenon that permeates or occurs in mathematics, astronomy, physics, engineering, psychology, real life, natural sciences, and medical sciences. In daily life, it recommends use our strength to “supplement” our limitation to achieve balance, optimality, harmony, or meeting challenges. In astronomy, it occurs astonishingly the Kepler's Second Law “equal time sweeps equal area”. In physics, it involves with conservation laws (cf. [13]), the law of conservation of energy, momentum, mass, angular momentum, etc. In

Supported in part by NSF (DMS-1447008).

mathematics it give rises to or interrelates the concept of *average*, balance, harmony, stable equilibrium, mean value property, symmetry, the least action principle, duality, etc. (cf. [34, 26, 61]).

From geometric function theoretic point of view, a harmonic function on the Euclidean space can be characterized as a function whose value at every point is equal to its *average* value around every ball (resp. sphere) centered at that point with an arbitrary radius. We have the following elegant links.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{C^2} R$. Then for every point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every ball $B(x_0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B(x_0, r))} \int_{B(x_0, r)} f(x) dx$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\partial B(x_0, r))} \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} f(x) dS.$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\text{On } \mathbb{R}^n, f \text{ is harmonic i.e., } \Delta f = 0$$

or f is a solution of the Laplace equation $\Delta f = \text{Div}(\nabla f) = \text{trace}(\text{Hess } f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} f = 0$.

\Leftrightarrow

f is a critical point of the energy functional E with respect to any compactly supported variation, where $E(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f|^2 dx$.

This average process illuminates *Noether's Theorem and Conservation Laws*: The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(x, f, \nabla f) = |\nabla f|^2$ has a continuous *symmetry*, e.g., it is invariant under group of "rotations". A solution f of the Euler-Lagrange equation of $\int_{B(0,r)} \mathcal{L}(x, f, \nabla f) dx$, or a *harmonic* function has a *conservation law*: There arises a divergence-free vector field on the domain space, from a solution of a variational problem, for example,

$$\text{Div}(\nabla f) = 0.$$

Amazingly and analogously, the energy density $e(u)$ as defined in (2.1) has a continuous symmetry, and a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation of the energy functional E as in (2.2) has a *conservation law*. P. Baird and J.Eells prove in particular

Theorem 1.2 (Baird-Eells[4]). *A harmonic map $u : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ between Riemannian manifolds has a conservation law. That is, there exists a divergence-free stress energy tensor $S = \frac{1}{2}|du|^2 - u^*g_N$ on the domain space, from a solution of a variational problem:*

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Div}(S) = 0.$$

This can be further unified, simplified and generalized, whereas a harmonic map can be viewed as $\Phi_{(1)}$ -harmonic map. As an example, S.X. Feng, Y.B. Han, K. Jiang, and S.W. Wei prove in particular the following.

Theorem 1.3 (Feng-Han-Jiang-Wei[16]). *A $\Phi_{(3)}$ -harmonic map $u : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ has a conservation law*

$$(1.2) \quad \text{Div}(S_{\Phi_{(3)}}) = 0,$$

where $S_{\Phi_{(3)}}$ of u denotes the stress-energy tensor with respect to the functional $E_{\Phi_{(3)}}(u)$, and is the symmetric 2-tensor on M^m given by

$$S_{\Phi_{(3)}} = e_{\Phi_{(3)}}g - (d_{(3)}u)^{-1}h.$$

That is, for every smooth vector fields X, Y on M ,

$$S_{\Phi_{(3)}}(X, Y) = \frac{\|d_{(3)}u\|^2}{6}g(X, Y) - h(d_{(3)}u(X), du(Y)).$$

(cf. Definition 8.1 and [16].)

Let $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a strictly increasing C^2 function with $F(0) = 0$. In [13], Y.X. Dong and S.W. Wei unify the concepts of F -harmonic maps, minimal hypersurfaces, maximal spacelike hypersurfaces, and Yang-Mills Fields, and introduce F -Yang-Mills fields. When $F(t) = t, \frac{1}{p}(2t)^{\frac{p}{2}}, e^{2t}, \sqrt{1+2t} - 1$, and $1 - \sqrt{1-2t}$, the F -Yang-Mills field becomes an ordinary Yang-Mills field, p -Yang-Mills field, exponential Yang-Mills fields, a generalized Yang-Mills-Born-Infeld field with the plus sign, and a generalized Yang-Mills-Born-Infeld field with the minus sign on a manifold respectively. Y.X. Dong and S.W. WEi prove in particular,

Theorem 1.4 (Dong-Wei[13], Theorem 3.1). *Every F -Yang-Mills field R^∇ satisfies an F -conservation law,*

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Div}(S_{F,R^\nabla}) = 0,$$

where S_{F,R^∇} denotes the stress-energy tensor associated with the F -Yang-Mills functional given by

$$S_{F,R^\nabla}(X, Y) = F\left(\frac{1}{2}\|R^\nabla\|^2\right)g(X, Y) - F'\left(\frac{1}{2}\|R^\nabla\|^2\right)\langle i_X R^\nabla, i_Y R^\nabla \rangle,$$

for every smooth vector fields X, Y on M , in which $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the induced inner product on the space of differential 1-form with values in the Adjoint bundle $A^1(Ad(P))$, and $i_X R^\nabla$ is the interior multiplication by the vector field X given by

$$(1.4) \quad (i_X R^\nabla)(Y_1) = R^\nabla(X, Y_1),$$

for any vector fields Y_1 on M .

2. AN EXTRINSIC AVERAGE VARIATIONAL METHOD IN THE CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS ([43, 45])

Observing Mathematics and Nature are beautifully interwoven, frequently two sides of the same coin, and Nature is uncompromizingly efficient, S.W. Wei proposed an extrinsic, average variational method in the calculus of variations as an approach to confront and resolve problems in global, nonlinear analysis, geometry and physics, by which the author pioneered the study of p -harmonic geometry (cf. e.g. [48, 52, 60]).

The method have been used, extended or generalized to other situations such as minimal submanifolds and rectifiable currents in a Riemannian manifold ([32, 26]), harmonic maps ([43, 25, 35]), Yang-Mills Fields ([5, 44, 30]), p -harmonic maps ([60, 48]), F -harmonic maps ([2]), Finsler geometry ([40]), etc.

More recently, employing the extrinsic average variational method ([45, 43]), we have found multiple large classes of new manifolds with geometric and topological properties in the setting of varied, coupled, generalized types of energy functionals and their associated harmonic maps $u : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$. These newly found manifolds that involved with elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ of eigenvalues of the pullback metric u^*g_N with respect to the domain metric g_M have their interactions with geometry, topology, analysis, partial differential equations, calculus of variations, physics, and are briefly listed in Table 1.

Let $u : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds M and N . Denote $e(u)$ the energy density of u , (resp. $e_p(u)$ the p -energy density of u) which is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} e(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m g_N(du(e_i), du(e_i)) = \frac{1}{2} |du|^2, \\ (\text{resp. } e_p(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^m g_N(du(e_i), du(e_i))^{\frac{p}{2}} = \frac{1}{p} |du|^p), \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field on M , du is the differential of u , and $|du|$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of du , determined by the metric g_M of M and the metric g_N of N . The energy of u , denoted by $E(u)$ and the p -energy of u , denoted by $E_p(u)$ are defined to be

$$(2.2) \quad E(u) = \int_M e(u) dv_g \quad \text{and} \quad E_p(u) = \int_M e_p(u) dv_g, \quad \text{respectively.}$$

A smooth map $u : M \rightarrow N$ is called *harmonic* (resp. *p-harmonic*) if u is a critical point of the energy functional E (resp. the p -energy functional E_p) with respect to any compactly supported variation, *E-stable or stable harmonic* if u is a local minimum of the energy functional $E(u)$, and *E-unstable or unstable harmonic* if u is not stable harmonic (resp. a *stable p-harmonic map* if u is a local minimum of the p -energy functional $E_p(u)$, *unstable p-harmonic or p-unstable* if u is not p -stable).

In this paper, we illuminate the method and show how it works for the energy functional E . Generalizing the author's previous work that every stable harmonic map from any compact Riemannian manifold into S^n or $S^n \times S^k$ for $n > 2, k > 2$ is constant. (cf. Theorem 2.4, or [43, Corollaries 3.1 and 3.2]), and the work of R. Howard and S.W. Wei on SU manifolds [25, Remarks 2.11 and 5.5], we prove

Theorem 10.1 *Let $M_1^{m_1}, \dots, M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ be compact p -SSU manifolds (cf. Definition 4.1). Then (i) the product manifold $M = M_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ is a p -SSU manifold of dimension $m = m_1 + \dots + m_\ell$. Hence, M is p -SU. (ii) There is a neighborhood of the product metric g_0 of the product manifold $M = M_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ in the C^2 topology (if M is not compact we must use the strong C^2 topology (see [21] for the definition) such that for every g in this neighborhood, the Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a p -SSU manifold of dimension $m = m_1 + \dots + m_\ell$. Hence, compact (M, g) is p -SU (cf. Definition 4.2).*

Theorem 10.2 *Let $M_1^{m_1}, \dots, M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ be compact X -SSU manifolds, where X -SSU denotes one of the following: $\Phi_{(1)}$ -SSU, Φ_S -SSU, $\Phi_{S,p}$ -SSU, $\Phi_{(2)}$ -SSU, and $\Phi_{(3)}$ -SSU. Then (i) The product manifold $M = M_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ is an X -SSU manifold of dimension $m = m_1 + \dots + m_\ell$. Hence, M is X -SU, i.e. M is the corresponding $\Phi_{(1)}$ -SU, Φ_S -SU, $\Phi_{S,p}$ -SU, $\Phi_{(2)}$ -SU, or $\Phi_{(3)}$ -SU. (ii) There is a neighborhood of the product metric g_0 of the product manifold $M = M_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ in the C^2 topology (if M is not compact we must use the strong C^2 topology) such that for every g in this neighborhood the Riemannian manifold (M, g) is an X -SSU manifold of dimension $m = m_1 + \dots + m_\ell$. Hence, compact (M, g) is X -SU.*

The extrinsic average variational method in the calculus of variations also marks the birth of (i) the first nonexistence theorem of stable Yang-Mills fields on product manifolds ([44]). (ii) the first classification of stable rectifiable currents on product manifolds ([51]). (iii) the first nonexistence theorem of nonconstant stable harmonic maps into product manifolds ([43]) (cf. Remark 10.3).

Approach I: Extrinsic Average Variations in the Target N

We assume M (resp. N) is isometrically immersed in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^q . Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be the standard flat connection on \mathbb{R}^q , ∇ (resp. ∇^N) the Riemannian connection on M (resp. N) and B (resp. B) the second fundamental form of M (resp. N) in \mathbb{R}^q . These are related by

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y) \quad (\text{resp. } \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X^N Y + B(X, Y)),$$

where X, Y (resp. X, Y) are smooth vector fields on M (resp. N). Let M (resp. N and \mathbb{R}^q) be equipped with Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot \rangle_M$ (resp. $\langle \cdot \rangle_N$ and $\langle \cdot \rangle$). Define a selfadjoint linear map

$$Q_x^M : T_x M \rightarrow T_x M \quad (\text{resp.} \quad Q_y^N : T_y N \rightarrow T_y N)$$

by

$$(2.4) \quad \langle Q_x^M(X), X \rangle_M = \sum_{i=1}^m 2\langle B(X, e_i), B(X, e_i) \rangle - \langle B(X, X), B(e_i, e_i) \rangle,$$

where $x \in M$, $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is an orthonormal basis for the tangent space $T_x M$ to M at x .

$$(\text{resp.} \quad (2.4') \quad \langle Q_y^N(X), X \rangle_N = \sum_{i=1}^n 2\langle B(X, e_i), B(X, e_i) \rangle - \langle B(X, X), B(e_i, e_i) \rangle,$$

where $y \in N$, $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for the tangent space $T_y N$ to N at y .)

Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1}, \dots, v_q\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^q and let $\mathbf{x} : N^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ be an isometrically immersion with second fundamental form B . As v_ℓ , $1 \leq \ell \leq q$, can be identified with a parallel and concircular vector field in \mathbb{R}^q (i.e. $\bar{\nabla}_Z v_\ell = 0 \cdot \tilde{Z} = 0$ for any \tilde{Z} tangent to \mathbb{R}^q , where $Z = \tilde{Z}|_N$, cf. [8]), this gives rise to a set of conservative vector fields

$$\{v_1^T, \dots, v_n^T, v_{n+1}^T, \dots, v_q^T\} \quad \text{on } N,$$

where $v_1^T, \dots, v_n^T, v_{n+1}^T, \dots, v_q^T$ denote orthogonal projections of $v_1, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1}, \dots, v_q$ onto the tangent bundle $T(N)$ of N in \mathbb{R}^q , and are given by $v_\ell^T = \text{grad}(\langle v_\ell, \mathbf{x} \rangle)$.

Clearly, each vector field v_ℓ^T on N generates a flow or a one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms $\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T} : N \rightarrow N$. Further, given a smooth map $u : M^m \rightarrow N^n$ between two compact Riemannian manifolds, we can deform u in v_ℓ^T direction to obtain the variation $u_t = \varphi_t^{v_\ell^T} \circ u$ of u with $u_0 = u$.

Let us consider the energy of $\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T} \circ u$:

$$E(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T} \circ u) = \int_M \sum_{i=1}^m \langle d(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T} \circ u)e_i, d(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T} \circ u)e_i \rangle_N dV_M$$

where $d(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T} \circ u)$ is the differential of $\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T} \circ u$, dV_M is the volume element of M . Thus, to each direction v_ℓ^T , the energy $E(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}(u))$ via the variation $\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T} \circ u$ is a smooth real valued function of t , and there corresponds to its rate of change of the energy in that direction v_ℓ^T to the second order, i.e. $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}(u))|_{t=0}$. Therefore, to the set of the q vector fields $\{v_1^T, \dots, v_n^T, v_{n+1}^T, \dots, v_q^T\}$ on N , there correspond to the set of q real numbers, i.e., q second variations given by

$$\left\{ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\varphi_t^{v_1^T}(u))|_{t=0}, \dots, \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\varphi_t^{v_n^T}(u))|_{t=0}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\varphi_t^{v_{n+1}^T}(u))|_{t=0}, \dots, \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\varphi_t^{v_q^T}(u))|_{t=0} \right\}$$

and their average or sum: $\sum_{\ell=1}^q \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}(u))|_{t=0}$.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a local orthonormal frame field on N . It was shown by Howard and Wei in [25] that if the map u is a non-constant harmonic map and the second fundamental form B of N in \mathbb{R}^q satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \{2\langle B(X, e_j), B(X, e_j) \rangle - \langle B(X, X), B(e_j, e_j) \rangle\} < 0$$

for each tangent vector X to N at any point in N , then the average variation, or the sum satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\ell=1}^q \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}(u)) \Big|_{t=0} \\
 (2.6) \quad &= \int_M \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \{ 2\langle B(du(e_i), e_j), B(du(e_i), e_j) \rangle \\
 & \quad - \langle B(du(e_i), du(e_i)), B(e_j, e_j) \rangle \} \\
 & < 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

by applying (2.5) in which $X = du(e_i)$ and summing it from $i = 1$ to m . Hence one of the terms must be < 0 , Or the sum would be nonnegative, a contradiction, i.e.

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}(u)) \Big|_{t=0} < 0 \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq \ell \leq q.$$

This means that along one of the directions, v_ℓ^T , the variation decreases the energy of u , and hence u is not a local minimum of the energy functional E , i.e. u is not a nonconstant stable harmonic map into N . Thus, we have shown, by the method of “Extrinsic Average Variations in the Target N ”

Proposition 2.1 ([25]). *Let N be a compact manifold satisfying (2.5). Then N cannot be the target of any nonconstant stable harmonic map u .*

Remark 2.2. *If we only compute the the second variation of the energy along any single direction v_ℓ^T , we do not know the sign of*

$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}(u)) \Big|_{t=0}$, because of some troublesome terms involved. However, if we average the result $\sum_{\ell=1}^q \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}(u)) \Big|_{t=0}$ over the set of variation vector fields, then the troublesome terms are cancelled, we get (2.6), from which we know the sign of the average is negative, under the above extrinsic condition (2.5) on N , and hence (2.7) holds.

Remark 2.3. *One way to interpret (2.6) is that by the extrinsic average variational method, the set of “distinguished” conservative vector fields*

$\{v_1^T, \dots, v_n^T, v_{n+1}^T, \dots, v_q^T\}$ on N , “universally” decrease the energy E of “any” nonconstant map into N .

Let N be a complete hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with principal curvature κ (resp. N' be a complete, hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} with principal curvature κ') and K_{min} be a function of N given by $K_{min}(x) =$ the minimum of all sectional curvatures of N at x (resp. K'_{min} be a function of N' given by $K'_{min} =$ the minimum of all sectional curvature of N' at x'). In [43] S.W. Wei proved

Theorem 2.4 ([43]). *If*

$$\kappa^2 < (n - 1)K_{min} \quad \text{and} \quad (\kappa')^2 < (k - 1)K'_{min},$$

then any (weakly) stable harmonic maps from an arbitrary Riemannian manifold M into $N \times N'$ is constant.

In particular, any (weakly) stable harmonic maps from an arbitrary Riemannian manifold M into $S^n \times S^k$ or S^n for $n > 2, k > 2$ is constant.

The case when the target manifold is a single sphere S^n , for $n > 2$ is also due to P.F. Leung ([31]). Theorem 2.4 is generalized to Theorem 10.1 and extended to Theorem 10.2.

Approach II: Extrinsic Average Variations in the Domain M

Analogously, given a smooth map $u : M \rightarrow N$ between two compact Riemannian manifolds, we can deform u in v_ℓ^T direction to obtain the variation $u_t = u \circ \varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}$ of u with $u_0 = u$.

Let us consider the energy of $u \circ \varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}$:

$$E(u \circ \varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}) = \int_M \sum_{i=1}^q \langle d(u \circ \varphi_t^{v_\ell^T})e_i, d(u \circ \varphi_t^{v_\ell^T})e_i \rangle_N dV_M$$

where $d(u \circ \varphi_t^{v_\ell^T})$ is the differential of $u \circ \varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}$, $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field on M , and dV_M is the volume element of M . Thus, to each direction v_ℓ^T , the energy $E(u(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}))$ via the variation $u \circ \varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}$ is a smooth real valued function of t , and there corresponds to its rate of change of the energy in that direction v_ℓ^T to the second order, i.e. $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(u(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}))|_{t=0}$. Therefore, to the set of the q vector fields $\{v_1^T, \dots, v_m^T, v_{m+1}^T, \dots, v_q^T\}$ on M , there correspond to the set of q second variations given by

$$\left\{ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(u(\varphi_t^{v_1^T}))|_{t=0}, \dots, \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(u(\varphi_t^{v_m^T}))|_{t=0} \right. \\ \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(u(\varphi_t^{v_{m+1}^T}))|_{t=0}, \dots, \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(u(\varphi_t^{v_q^T}))|_{t=0} \right\}$$

and their average or sum: $\sum_{\ell=1}^q \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(u(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}))|_{t=0}$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ be a local orthonormal frame field on M . It was shown by Howard and Wei in [25] that if the map u is non-constant and the second fundamental form B of M in \mathbb{R}^q satisfies

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \{2\langle B(X, e_j), B(X, e_j) \rangle - \langle B(X, X), B(e_j, e_j) \rangle\} < 0$$

for each tangent vector X to M at any point in M , then the average variation, or the sum satisfies

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^q \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(u(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}))|_{t=0} \\ = \int_M \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m |du(e_i)|^2 \{2\langle B(e_i, e_j), B(e_i, e_j) \rangle \\ - \langle B(e_i, e_i), B(e_j, e_j) \rangle\} \\ < 0,$$

Hence one of the terms must be < 0 , Or the sum would be nonnegative, a contradiction, i.e.

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(u(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}))|_{t=0} < 0 \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq \ell \leq q.$$

This means that along one of the directions, v_ℓ^T , the variation decreases the energy of u , and hence u is not a local minimum of the energy functional E , i.e. $u : M \rightarrow N$ is not a nonconstant stable harmonic map. Thus, we have shown, by the method of ‘‘Extrinsic Average Variations in the Domain M ’’

Proposition 2.5 ([25]). *Let M be a compact manifold satisfying (2.5). Then M cannot be the domain of any nonconstant stable harmonic map.*

Remark 2.6. *If we only compute the the second variation of the energy along any single direction v_ℓ^T , we do not know the sign of*

$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(u(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}))|_{t=0}$, *because of some troublesome terms involved. However, if we average the result* $\sum_{\ell=1}^q \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(u(\varphi_t^{v_\ell^T}))|_{t=0}$ *over the set of variation vector fields, then the troublesome terms are cancelled, we get (2.9), from which we know the sign of the average is negative, under the above extrinsic condition (2.8), on M and hence (2.10) holds.*

Remark 2.7. One way to interpret (2.9) is that by the extrinsic average variational method, the set of “distinguished” conservative vector fields

$\{v_1^T, \dots, v_n^T, v_{n+1}^T, \dots, v_q^T\}$ on M , “universally” decrease the energy E of “any” nonconstant map from M .

Corollary 2.8 (Y.L. Xin [62]). Every (weakly) stable harmonic maps from $S^m, m > 2$ into an any compact Riemannian manifold N is constant.

Proof. We choose diagonalized orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ at a point in S^m , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \{2\langle B(X, e_j), B(X, e_j) \rangle - \langle B(X, X), B(e_j, e_j) \rangle\} = 2 - m < 0.$$

Hence, (2.9) holds, and the result follows. □

This result is generalized to Theorem 10.1 and extended to Theorem 10.2.

3. AVERAGING SECOND VARIATIONS ([25])

By an extrinsic average variational method, we derive the following average second variation formulas of the energy functional E :

An average second variational formula on the target for the energy of $u : M^n \rightarrow N^k$ (u is not necessarily harmonic)

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\ell=1}^q \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\phi_t^{v_\ell^T} \circ u) \Big|_{t=0} \\ & = \int_M \sum_{i=1}^m \langle Q^N(du(e_i)), du(e_i) \rangle_N dv, \end{aligned}$$

where Q^N is as in (2.4).

An average second variational formula on the domain for the energy of a map $u : M^m \rightarrow N^n$ (u is harmonic)

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\ell=1}^q \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(u \circ \phi_t^{v_\ell^T}) \Big|_{t=0} \\ & = \int_M \sum_{i=1}^m \langle du(Q^M(e_i)), du(e_i) \rangle_N dv, \end{aligned}$$

where Q^M is as in (2.4').

4. SUPERSTRONGLY UNSTABLE (SSU) MANIFOLDS ([46])

In contrast to an average method in PDE that we applied in [7] to obtain sharp growth estimates for warping functions in multiply warped product manifolds, we employ an extrinsic average variational method in the calculus of variations ([45, 43]), find a large class of manifolds of positive Ricci curvature that enjoy rich properties ([47, 44, 46, 60]).

Definition 4.1. A Riemannian manifold M with its Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_M$ is said to be a **super-strongly unstable (SSU)** manifold, if there exists an isometric immersion of M in $(\mathbb{R}^q, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ with its second fundamental form B , such that for every unit tangent vector v to M at every point $x \in M$, the following symmetric linear operator Q_x^M is negative definite.

$$(4.1) \quad \langle Q_x^M(v), v \rangle_M = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(2\langle B(v, e_i), B(v, e_i) \rangle - \langle B(v, v), B(e_i, e_i) \rangle \right)$$

and M is said to be a p -superstrongly unstable (p -SSU) manifold for $p \geq 2$ if the following functional is negative valued.

$$(4.2) \quad F_{p,x}(v) = (p - 2)\langle B(v, v), B(v, v) \rangle + \langle Q_x^M(v), v \rangle_M,$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is a local orthonormal frame on M .

Employing the extrinsic average variational method, we find the following.

Proposition 4.2. *A compact SSU manifold M cannot be the domain of any nonconstant stable harmonic map into any manifold. And a compact SSU manifold N cannot be the target of any nonconstant stable harmonic maps from any manifold.*

Proof. These follow at once from (2.9) (or (3.2)) and (2.6) (or (3.1)) respectively. □

Howard and Wei ([25]) (resp. Wei and Yau ([60])) introduce the following notions:

Definition 4.3. *A Riemannian manifold M is said to be strongly unstable (SU) (resp. p -strongly unstable (p -SU)) if M is neither the domain nor the target of any nonconstant smooth stable harmonic map, (resp. stable p -harmonic map), and the homotopic class of maps from or into M contains a map of arbitrarily small energy E (resp. p -energy E_p).*

This concept leads to

Theorem 4.4. *Every compact superstrongly unstable (SSU)-manifold (resp. p -superstrongly unstable (p -SSU)) manifold is strongly unstable (SU) (resp. p -strongly unstable (p -SU)).*

Proof. (SSU \Rightarrow SU) This follows from Proposition 4.2 and [25].

(resp. p -SSU $\Rightarrow p$ -SU) This follows from [60, 48] □

Theorem 4.5 (Topological Vanishing Theorem). *Suppose that M is a compact SSU (resp. p -SSU) manifold. Then M is SU and*

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_1(M) = \pi_2(M) = 0 \\ (\text{resp. } \pi_1(M) = \dots = \pi_{[p]} = 0, \text{ where } [p] \text{ is the greatest integer of } p). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the following three statements are equivalent:

- (a) $\pi_1(M) = \pi_2(M) = 0$.
- (4.4) (b) the infimum of the energy E is 0 among maps homotopic to the identity on M .
- (c) the infimum of the energy E is 0 among maps homotopic to a map from M .

That is,

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_1(M) = \pi_2(M) = 0 &\stackrel{[56]}{\iff} \inf\{E(u') : u' \text{ is homotopic to Id on } M\} = 0, \\ &\stackrel{[14]}{\iff} \inf\{E(u') : u' \text{ is homotopic to } u : M \rightarrow \bullet\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.6 (Sphere Theorem). *Suppose that M is a compact SSU (resp. p -SSU) manifold of dimension $m \leq 5$ (resp. $m \leq 2p + 1$). Then $m > 2$ and M is homeomorphic to S^m (resp. $m > p$ and M is homeomorphic to S^m). Furthermore, if $m = 3$, then M is deffeomorphic to S^3 .*

Remark 4.7. *The dimensions in Theorem 4.6 are sharp, as S^2 is not an SSU manifold and the identity map $\text{Id}_{S^2} : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ on S^2 is a stable harmonic map, and the identity map $\text{Id}_{S^p} : S^p \rightarrow S^p$ on S^p is a stable p -harmonic map.*

Theorem 4.8 (SSU Homogeneous Spaces). *Let M^m be a compact m -dimensional irreducible homogeneous space with scalar curvature $Scal^M$ and the smallest positive eigenvalue λ_1 of Δ on functions. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 M \text{ is an SSU manifold.} & \iff M \text{ is SU.} \iff M \text{ is U i.e. Id}_M \text{ is an unstable harmonic map.} \\
 & \iff \lambda_1 < \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{Scal^M}{k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.9 (Classification Theorem [35, 25]). *Let M be a compact irreducible symmetric space. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) M is SSU.
- (2) M is SU.
- (3) M is U; i.e. Id_M is an unstable harmonic map.
- (4) M is one of the following:

- (i) the simply connected simple Lie groups $(A_l)_{l \geq 1}$, $B_2 = C_2$ and $(C_l)_{l \geq 3}$;
- (ii) $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$, $n \geq 3$;
- (iii) Spheres S^k , $k > 2$;
- (iv) Quaternionic Grassmannians $Sp(m+n)/Sp(m) \times Sp(n)$, $m \geq n \geq 1$;
- (v) E_6/F_4 ;
- (vi) Cayley Plane $F_4/Spin(9)$.

5. VARIED ENERGY, HARMONICITY, AND SYMMETRY INVARIANTS

We recall at any fixed point $x_0 \in M$, a symmetric 2-covariant tensor field α on (M, g_M) in general, or the pullback metric u^*g_N in particular, has the eigenvalues λ relative to the metric g_M of M ; i.e., the m real roots of the algebraic equation

$$\det(g_{ij}\lambda - \alpha_{ij}) = 0 \text{ where } g_{ij} = g_M(e_i, e_j), \alpha_{ij} = \alpha(e_i, e_j),$$

and $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is a basis for $T_{x_0}(M)$. This gives rise to,

The algebraic invariants - the k -th elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues of α at x_0 , denoted by $\sigma_k(\alpha_{x_0})$, $1 \leq k \leq m$ frequently have geometric meaning of the manifold M or the map u on M with analytic, topological and physical impacts.

Indeed, if we take α to be the second fundamental form of M in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} , then $\frac{1}{m}\sigma_1(\alpha)$, $\frac{2}{m(m-1)}\sigma_2(\alpha)$, and $\sigma_m(\alpha)$ are the mean curvature, scalar curvature, and the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of M respectively and are central themes of Yamabi problem ([1, 27, 37, 41]), special Lagrangian graphs ([23]), geometric aspects of the theory of fully nonlinear elliptic equations (e.g., [39]), and conformal geometry (e.g. [10], [12]), etc. If we take α to be Schoulten tensor, then a study of $\sigma_2(\alpha)$ leads to a generalized Yamabe problem ([6]). In the study of prescribed curvature problems in PDE, the existence of closed starshaped hypersurfaces of prescribed mean curvature in Euclidean space was proved by A.E. Treibergs and S.W. Wei [42], solving a problem of F. Almgren and S.T. Yau [64]. While the case of prescribed Gauss-Kronecker curvature was studied by V.I. Oliker [36] and P. Delanoë [11], the case of prescribed k -th mean curvature, in particular the intermediate cases, $2 \leq k \leq m - 1$ were treated by L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck [9].

These motivate us from the viewpoint of geometric mapping theory $u : (M^m, g) \rightarrow (N^n, h)$, taking $\alpha = u^*h$, to extend the study of harmonic maps or $\Phi_{(1)}$ -harmonic maps (cf [15]), Φ -harmonic maps or $\Phi_{(2)}$ -harmonic maps (cf. [24]), and $\Phi_{(3)}$ -harmonic maps by unified geometric analytic methods.

(i) The first symmetric function σ_1 , and (harmonic map $u : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ can be viewed as $\Phi_{(1)}$ -harmonic map.

A harmonic map u or a $\Phi_{(1)}$ -harmonic map is a critical point of the energy functional, given by the integral of a half of an algebraic invariant - **the first elementary symmetric function σ_1 , of engenvalues relative to the metric g_M** , or the trace of the pullback metric tensor u^*g_N , with respect to g_M , where $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is an local orthonormal frame field on M . More precisely,

$$(5.1) \quad E(u) = \int_M \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m g_N(du(e_i), du(e_i)) dv = \int_M \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_1(u^*)) dv.$$

A p -harmonic map can be viewed as a critical point of the p -energy functional $E_p(u)$, given by the integral of $\frac{1}{p}$ times σ_1 or the trace of the pullback metric tensor to the power $\frac{p}{2}$, i.e.,

$$(5.2) \quad E_p(u) = \int_M \frac{1}{p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m g_M(du(e_i), du(e_i)) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dv = \int_M \frac{1}{p} (\sigma_1(u^*))^{\frac{p}{2}} dv.$$

(ii) The 2^{nd} symmetric function σ_2 and (Φ -Harmonic Map [24] can be viewed as $\Phi_{(2)}$ -Harmonic Map.

In [24], Y.B. Han and S.W. Wei introduce the notions of Φ -energy density, Φ -energy, Φ -harmonic maps and stable Φ -harmonic maps that arise from the second symmetric function σ_2 of of engenvalues of a symmetric 2-covariant tensor field α on (M, g_M) relative to the metric g_M , where α is the pullback metric u^*g_N .

Let $u : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds M and N .

Definition 5.1. The Φ -energy density of u , denoted by $e_\Phi(u)$ is a quarter of the second symmetric function σ_2 of the engenvalues of the pullback metric u^*g_N , given by

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} e_\Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{4} \sigma_2(\alpha), \text{ where } \alpha = u^*g_N \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left(g_N(du(e_i), du(e_j)) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the Φ -energy density, similarly to the energy density depends on the metric g_M of M and the metric g_N of N .

The Φ -energy of u , denoted by $E_\Phi(u)$ is defined to be

$$(5.4) \quad E_\Phi(u) = \int_M e_\Phi(u) dv_g.$$

A smooth map $u : M \rightarrow N$ is called Φ -harmonic if u is a critical point of the Φ -energy functional E_Φ with respect to any compactly supported variation, Φ -stable if u is a local minimum of the Φ -energy functional $E_\Phi(u)$, and Φ -unstable if u is not Φ -stable.

We show that the extrinsic average variational method in the calculus of variations employed in the study of harmonic maps, p -harmonic maps, F -harmonic maps and Yang-Mills fields can be extended to the study of Φ -harmonic maps, and find Φ -superstrongly unstable (Φ -SSU) manifold.

Definition 5.2. A Riemannian manifold M^m is said to be Φ -superstrongly unstable (Φ -SSU) if there exists an isometric immersion of M^m in \mathbb{R}^q with its second fundamental form B such that, for all unit tangent vectors v to M^m at every point $x \in M^m$, the following functional is always negative:

$$(5.5) \quad F_{\Phi_x}(v) = \sum_{i=1}^m (4\langle B(v, e_i), B(v, e_i) \rangle - \langle B(v, v), B(e_i, e_i) \rangle),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is a local orthonormal frame on M near x .

Examples of Φ -SSU manifolds include hypersurfaces in Euclidean space with principal curvatures satisfying

$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m < \frac{1}{3}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{m-1})$, m -dimensional elliptic paraboloid in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} , $\{(x_1, \dots, x^m, y) : y = x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2\}$, the standard m -sphere S^m , for $m > 4$, certain ellipsoids, minimal submanifolds in ellipsoids and in convex hypersurfaces, arbitrary finite product of compact or noncompact manifolds (cf. [24, Theorems 5.1-5.4, Corollaries 5.1-5.2, and 5.4]). Indeed, examples of Φ -SSU manifolds are not limited to topological spheres or some unstable Yang-Mills fields in the sense of Bourguignon-Lawson-Simons [5, 32], Wei [44, 59], Kobayashi-Ohnita-Takeuchi (cf. [30]), (cf. also [24, Theorem 5.2]).

In [24] Y.B. Han and S.W. Wei introduced

Definition 5.3. A manifold M is said to be Φ -Strongly Unstable (Φ -SU) if (a) M cannot be the target of any nonconstant stable Φ -harmonic map, (b) The homotopic class of any map into M contains elements of arbitrarily small Φ -energy, (c) M cannot be the domain of any nonconstant stable Φ -harmonic map, and (d) The homotopic class of any map from manifold M contains elements of arbitrarily small Φ -energy.

and proved that

Theorem 5.4 ([24]). Every compact Φ -superstrongly unstable (Φ -SSU) manifold must be Φ -strongly unstable (Φ -SU).

Remark 5.5. That a compact Φ -SSU manifold is Φ -SU is an analog of a compact SSU manifold being SU This can be viewed as a compact $\Phi_{(2)}$ -SSU manifold being $\Phi_{(2)}$ -SU or a compact $\Phi_{(1)}$ -SSU manifold being $\Phi_{(1)}$ -SU.

6. Φ_S -HARMONIC MAPS, EXTENDED σ_2 , AND Φ_S -SSU MANIFOLDS ([17])

For a given map $u : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$, the stress energy tensor S given by

$$(6.1) \quad S = e(u)g_M - u^*g_N$$

plays an important role in unifying the theory of harmonic maps and their generalizations. The norm of the stress energy tensor S given by

$$(6.2) \quad \|S\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left(e(u)g_M(e_i, e_j) - u^*g_N(e_i, e_j) \right)^2 = \frac{m-4}{4}|du|^4 + \sigma_2(u^*g_N).$$

Associated with the stress energy tensor S , S.X. Feng, Y.B. Han, X. Li and S.W. Wei in [17], introduce the notion of the Φ_S -energy density $e_{\Phi_S}(u)$ of u , Φ_S -energy $E_{\Phi_S}(u)$ of u and Φ_S -harmonic maps, which are σ_2 version of the stress energy tensor S .

Definition 6.1. The Φ_S -energy density $e_{\Phi_S}(u)$ of u is given by

$$(6.3) \quad e_{\Phi_S}(u) = \frac{1}{4}\|S\|^2 = \frac{m-4}{16}|du|^4 + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_2(u^*g_N) = \frac{m-4}{4}e_4(u) + e_{\Phi}(u)$$

and the Φ_S -energy $E_{\Phi_S}(u)$ of u is defined to be the integral of Φ_S -energy density $e_{\Phi_S}(u)$ over M . Namely,

$$(6.4) \quad E_{\Phi_S}(u) = \int_M e_{\Phi_S}(u) dv = \frac{m-4}{4}E_4(u) + E_{\Phi}(u),$$

where $E_4(u)$ and $E_{\Phi}(u)$ are 4-energy of u and Φ -energy of u respectively .

A smooth map u is said to be a Φ_S -harmonic map if it is a critical point of the Φ_S -energy functional E_{Φ_S} with respect to any smooth compactly supported variation of u , stable Φ_S -harmonic or simply Φ_S -stable if u is a local minimum of $E_{\Phi_S}(u)$, and Φ_S -unstable if u is not Φ_S -stable.

In [17], using the extrinsic average variational method in the calculus of variations, S.X. Feng, Y.B. Han, X. Li and S.W. Wei find a large class of manifolds, Φ_S -superstrongly unstable (Φ_S -SSU) manifolds,

Definition 6.2. A Riemannian manifold N with $\dim N > 4$ is said to be a Φ_S -superstrongly unstable (Φ_S -SSU) manifold if there exists an isometric immersion of N into \mathbb{R}^q with its second fundamental form \mathbf{B} such that, for all unit tangent vectors \mathbf{x} to N at every point $y \in N^n$, the following functional is always negative:

$$(6.5) \quad \mathbf{F}_{\Phi_S y}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\beta=1}^n (4\langle \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}_i), \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}_i) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^q} - \langle \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_i) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^q}),$$

where \mathbf{B} is the second fundamental form of N^n in \mathbb{R}^q , and $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame on N near y ,

and introduce the notion of Φ_S -strongly unstable (Φ_S -SU) manifolds,

Definition 6.3. A manifold M is said to be Φ_S -Strongly Unstable (Φ_S -SU) if (a) M is not the target of any nonconstant stable Φ_S -harmonic map, (b) The homotopic class of any map into M contains elements of arbitrarily small Φ_S -energy, (c) M is not the domain of any nonconstant stable Φ_S -harmonic map, and (d) The homotopic class of any map from M contains elements of arbitrarily small Φ_S -energy,

and prove

Theorem 6.4. Every compact Φ_S -superstrongly unstable (Φ_S -SSU) manifold is Φ_S -strongly unstable (Φ_S -SU).

Remark 6.5. This compact Φ_S -SSU manifold being Φ_S -SU is an analog of compact SSU manifold being SU, i.e., compact $\Phi_{(1)}$ -SSU manifold being $\Phi_{(1)}$ -SU.

7. $\Phi_{S,p}$ -HARMONIC MAPS, COUPLED σ_2 WITH σ_1 , AND $\Phi_{S,p}$ -SSU MANIFOLDS ([18])

We introduce the notion of $\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic maps, which is a coupled generalized σ_2 version of the stress energy tensor S , and a σ_1 version of the pullback u^*g_N .

Just as we define the Φ_S -energy density $e_{\Phi_S}(u)$ and Φ_S -energy $E_{\Phi_S}(u)$ of a map $u : M \rightarrow N$, Φ_S -harmonic map, stable Φ_S -harmonic map, and unstable Φ_S -harmonic map that are associated with the stress energy tensor S , so do we introduce the notions of the $\Phi_{S,p}$ -energy density $e_{\Phi_{S,p}}(u)$ and the $\Phi_{S,p}$ -energy $E_{\Phi_{S,p}}(u)$ of a map $u : M \rightarrow N$, $\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic map, stable $\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic map, and unstable $\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic map. Recall for a given map $u : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$,

$$S_p = e_p(u)g_M - |du|^{p-2}u^*g_N$$

with the norm given by

$$(7.1) \quad \|S_p\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left(\frac{|du|^p}{p} \delta_{i,j} - |du|^{p-2}u^*g_N(e_i, e_j) \right)^2.$$

Definition 7.1. The $\Phi_{S,p}$ -energy density $e_{\Phi_{S,p}}(u)$ of u is given by

$$(7.2) \quad e_{\Phi_{S,p}}(u) = \frac{m-2p}{2p^3}|du|^{2p} + \frac{1}{2p}m^{\frac{p}{2}-1}|u^*g_N|^p = \frac{m-2p}{p^2}e_{2p}(u) + m^{\frac{p}{2}-1}e_{\Phi_p}(u)$$

The $\Phi_{S,p}$ -energy $E_{\Phi_{S,p}}(u)$ of u is given by

$$(7.3) \quad E_{\Phi_{S,p}}(u) = \int_M e_{\Phi_{S,p}}(u) \, dv = \frac{m-2p}{p^2} E_{2p}(u) + m^{\frac{p}{2}-1} E_{\Phi_p}(u)$$

where $E_{2p}(u)$ and $E_{\Phi_p}(u)$ are $2p$ -energy of u and Φ_p -energy of u respectively .

Definition 7.2. A smooth map u is said to be a $\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic map (or a stress-energy stationary map) if it is a critical point of the $\Phi_{S,p}$ -energy functional $E_{\Phi_{S,p}}$ with respect to any smooth compactly supported variation of u , stable $\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic or simply $\Phi_{S,p}$ -stable if u is a local minimum of $E_{\Phi_{S,p}}(u)$, and $\Phi_{S,p}$ -unstable if u is not $\Phi_{S,p}$ -stable.

This is a natural L^2 version that involves with the p -th power of the norm of the the induced $(0, 2)$ -tensor u^*g_N . When $p = 2$, $e_{\Phi_{S,p}}$, $E_{\Phi_{S,p}}$, $\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic maps and stable $\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic maps become e_{Φ_S} , E_{Φ_S} , Φ_S -harmonic maps and stable Φ_S -harmonic maps respectively.

In [18], S.X. Feng, Y.B. Han, and S.W. Wei show that the extrinsic average variational method in the calculus of variations employed in the study of σ_1 and σ_2 versions of the pullback metric u^*g_N on M and stress-energy tensor can be extended to the study of a combined extended second symmetric function σ_2 version. In fact, we find a large class of manifolds, $\Phi_{S,p}$ -superstrongly unstable ($\Phi_{S,p}$ -SSU) manifolds,

Definition 7.3. A Riemannian n -manifold N is said to be $\Phi_{S,p}$ -supersrongly unstable ($\Phi_{S,p}$ -SSU) if there exists an isometric immersion of N in \mathbb{R}^q with its second fundamental form \mathbf{B} such that, for all unit tangent vectors x to N at every point $y \in N$, the following functional is always negative-valued:

$$(7.4) \quad F_{\Phi_{S,p},y}(x) = 2(p-2)\langle \mathbf{B}(x, x), \mathbf{B}(x, x) \rangle + \sum_{\beta=1}^n \left(4\langle \mathbf{B}(x, e_\beta), \mathbf{B}(x, e_\beta) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^q} - \langle \mathbf{B}(x, x), \mathbf{B}(e_\beta, e_\beta) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^q} \right),$$

introduce the notion of $\Phi_{S,p}$ -strongly unstable ($\Phi_{S,p}$ -SU) manifolds

Definition 7.4. A manifold M is said to be $\Phi_{S,p}$ -Strongly Unstable ($\Phi_{S,p}$ -SU) if (a) M is not be the target of any nonconstant stable $\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic map, (b) The homotopic class of any map into M contains elements of arbitrarily small $\Phi_{S,p}$ -energy, (c) M is not the domain of any nonconstant stable $\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic map, and (d) The homotopic class of any map from M contains elements of arbitrarily small $\Phi_{S,p}$ -energy,

and prove

Theorem 7.5. Every compact $\Phi_{S,p}$ -superstrongly unstable ($\Phi_{S,p}$ -SSU) manifold is $\Phi_{S,p}$ -strongly unstable ($\Phi_{S,p}$ -SU) .

Remark 7.6. This compact $\Phi_{S,p}$ -SSU manifold being $\Phi_{S,p}$ -SU is an analog of a compact Φ_S -SSU manifold being Φ_S -SU, or a compact $\Phi_{(2)}$ -SSU manifold being $\Phi_{(2)}$ -SU.

8. $\Phi_{(3)}$ -HARMONIC MAPS, σ_3 -SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS, AND $\Phi_{(3)}$ -SSU MANIFOLDS([16])

We introduce unified notations and concepts of $\Phi_{(i)}$ -harmonic maps which are σ_i version of the pullback u^*g_N , for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Definition 8.1. Let $d_{(1)}u, d_{(2)}u$ and $d_{(3)}u$ be 1-forms with values in the pullback bundle $u^{-1}TN$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{(1)}u(X) &= du(X), \\
 d_{(2)}u(X) &= \sum_{j=1}^m h(du(X), du(e_j))du(e_j), \quad \text{and} \\
 d_{(3)}u(X) &= \sum_{j,k=1}^m h(du(X), du(e_j))h(du(e_j), du(e_k))du(e_k),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{8.1}$$

respectively, for any smooth vector field X on (M, g) , where $\{e_i\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field on (M, g) , with the following corresponding norms

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|d_{(1)}u\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m h(d_{(1)}u(e_i), du(e_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^m h(du(e_i), du(e_i)), \\
 \|d_{(2)}u\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m h(d_{(2)}u(e_i), du(e_i)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(du(e_i), du(e_j))h(du(e_j), du(e_i)), \quad \text{and} \\
 \|d_{(3)}u\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m h(d_{(3)}u(e_i), du(e_i)) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^m h(du(e_i), du(e_j))h(du(e_j), du(e_k))h(du(e_k), du(e_i)).
 \end{aligned}$$

The $\Phi_{(1)}$ -energy density $e_{\Phi_{(1)}}(u)$, $\Phi_{(2)}$ -energy density $e_{\Phi_{(2)}}(u)$, and $\Phi_{(3)}$ -energy density $e_{\Phi_{(3)}}(u)$ of u are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_{\Phi_{(1)}}(u) &= \frac{\|d_{(1)}u\|^2}{2}, \\
 e_{\Phi_{(2)}}(u) &= \frac{\|d_{(2)}u\|^2}{4}, \quad \text{and} \\
 e_{\Phi_{(3)}}(u) &= \frac{\|d_{(3)}u\|^2}{6}, \quad \text{respectively.}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{8.2}$$

The $\Phi_{(1)}$ -energy $E_{\Phi_{(1)}}(u)$, $\Phi_{(2)}$ -energy $E_{\Phi_{(2)}}(u)$, and $\Phi_{(3)}$ -energy $E_{\Phi_{(3)}}(u)$ of u are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{\Phi_{(1)}}(u) &= \int_M e_{\Phi_{(1)}}(u)dv_g, \\
 E_{\Phi_{(2)}}(u) &= \int_M e_{\Phi_{(2)}}(u)dv_g, \quad \text{and} \\
 E_{\Phi_{(3)}}(u) &= \int_M e_{\Phi_{(3)}}(u)dv_g, \quad \text{respectively.}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{8.3}$$

Definition 8.2. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, a smooth map u is said to be a $\Phi_{(i)}$ -harmonic map if it is a critical point of the $\Phi_{(i)}$ -energy functional $E_{\Phi_{(i)}}$ with respect to any smooth compactly supported variation of u , stable $\Phi_{(i)}$ -harmonic or simply $\Phi_{(i)}$ -stable, if u is a local minimum of $E_{\Phi_{(i)}}(u)$, and $\Phi_{(i)}$ -unstable if u is not $\Phi_{(i)}$ -stable.

Remark 8.3. (i) The norm $\|d_{(1)}u\|$ is the Hilbert-Schmid norm of the differential du , i.e., $\|d_{(1)}u\| = |du|$. (ii) The $\Phi_{(1)}$ -energy density $e_{\Phi_{(1)}}(u) = e(u)$ is the energy density of u . (iii) $\Phi_{(1)}$ -harmonic map is ordinary harmonic map (cf. [15]). (iv) The $\Phi_{(2)}$ -energy density $e_{\Phi_{(2)}}(u) = e_{\Phi}(u)$ is the Φ -energy density of u . (v) $\Phi_{(2)}$ -harmonic map is Φ -harmonic map (cf. [24]). (vi) Definition 8.2 can be extended to $4 \leq i \leq m = \dim M$. Hence, for any integer $1 \leq i \leq m$, a smooth map u is said to be a $\Phi_{(i)}$ -harmonic map if it is a critical point of the $\Phi_{(i)}$ -energy functional $E_{\Phi_{(i)}}$ with respect to any smooth compactly supported variation of u , stable $\Phi_{(i)}$ -harmonic or simply $\Phi_{(i)}$ -stable, if u is a local minimum of $E_{\Phi_{(i)}}(u)$, and $\Phi_{(i)}$ -unstable if u is not $\Phi_{(i)}$ -stable.

In fact, S.X. Feng, Y.B. Han, K. Jiang, and S.W. Wei show that the extrinsic average variational method in the calculus of variations employed in the study of σ_1 and σ_2 versions of the pullback metric u^*g_N on M can be extended to the study of the third symmetric function σ_3 version. The “distinguished” conservative vector fields on SSU manifolds “universally decrease” the the energy E also works on p -SSU, Φ -SSU, $\Phi_{(2)}$ -SSU, Φ_S -SSU, $\Phi_{S,p}$ -SSU, and $\Phi_{(3)}$ -SSU manifolds to “universally decrease” p -energy, Φ -energy, $\Phi_{(2)}$ -energy, Φ_S -energy, $\Phi_{S,p}$ -energy, and $\Phi_{(3)}$ -energy respectively.

We introduce the notion of a $\Phi_{(3)}$ -harmonic map and find a large class of manifolds, $\Phi_{(3)}$ -superstrongly unstable ($\Phi_{(3)}$ -SSU) manifolds,

Definition 8.4. A Riemannian manifold M^m is said to be $\Phi_{(3)}$ -superstrongly unstable ($\Phi_{(3)}$ -SSU) if there exists an isometric immersion of M^m in \mathbb{R}^q with its second fundamental form B such that for all unit tangent vectors v to M^m at every point $x \in M^m$, the following functional is negative valued.

$$(8.4) \quad F_{\Phi_{(3)},x}(v) = \sum_{i=1}^m (6\langle B(v, e_i), B(v, e_i) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^q} - \langle B(v, v), B(e_i, e_i) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^q}),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field on M^m near x .

We introduce

Definition 8.5. A Riemannian manifold M is $\Phi_{(3)}$ -strongly unstable ($\Phi_{(3)}$ -SU) if M is neither the domain nor the target of any nonconstant smooth $\Phi_{(3)}$ -stable harmonic map (into or from any compact Riemannian manifold), and the homotopic class of maps from or into M contains a map of arbitrarily small $\Phi_{(3)}$ -energy $E_{\Phi_{(3)}}$,

and prove

Theorem 8.6 ([16]). Every compact $\Phi_{(3)}$ -superstrongly unstable ($\Phi_{(3)}$ -SSU) manifold is $\Phi_{(3)}$ -strongly unstable ($\Phi_{(3)}$ -SU).

Remark 8.7. This compact $\Phi_{(3)}$ -SSU manifold being $\Phi_{(3)}$ -SU is an analog of a compact SSU manifold being SU.

9. VARIED, COUPLED, GENERALIZED HARMONIC MAPS, -ENERGY, WITH CORRESPONDING -SSU AND -SU MANIFOLDS

As in the philosophy of Lao-Tzu (Chapter 42 of Tao Te Ching),

“Ten Thousands things embrace polar opposites: Yin and Yang.

Integrating and balancing them through their generated “flow” achieve *harmony*”.

(where “flow” is in English “Qi”, which is pronounced the first syllable of “cheese”)

Employing the extrinsic average variational method in [45, 43], we have found multiple large classes of new manifolds with geometric and topological properties in the setting of varied, coupled, generalized type of harmonic maps.

These newly found manifolds have their interactions with geometry, topology, analysis, partial differential equations, calculus of variations, physics, and are briefly listed in the following table. For more details, related ideas, techniques, we refer to [7], [17]-[16], [34]-[43], [55], [58], [60] and references within.

10. PRODUCT MANIFOLDS

In Theorem 2.4 ([43]) we prove that in particular S^n or $S^n \times S^k$, for $n > 2, k > 2$ cannot be the target of any nonconstant stable harmonic maps.

The extrinsic average variational method can carry this idea and result to more general settings, These include from spheres, hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space, etc. to SSU manifolds, and the extension

TABLE 1. An Extrinsic Average Variational Method

Mappings	Functionals	New manifolds found	Geometry	Topology
harmonic map or $\Phi_{(1)}$ -harmonic map	energy functional E or $\Phi_{(1)}$ -energy functional $E_{\Phi_{(1)}}$	SSU manifolds or $\Phi_{(1)}$ -SSU manifolds	SU or $\Phi_{(1)}$ -SU	$\pi_1 = \pi_2 = 0$ $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = 0$
p -harmonic map	p -energy functional E_p	p -SSU manifolds	p -SU	$\pi_1 = \dots = \pi_{[p]} = 0$
Φ -harmonic map or $\Phi_{(2)}$ -harmonic map	Φ -energy functional E_Φ or $\Phi_{(2)}$ -energy functional $E_{\Phi_{(2)}}$	Φ -SSU manifolds or $\Phi_{(2)}$ -SSU manifolds	Φ -SU or $\Phi_{(2)}$ -SU	$\pi_1 = \dots = \pi_4 = 0$ $\pi_1 = \dots = \pi_4 = 0$
Φ_S -harmonic map	Φ_S -energy functional E_{Φ_S}	Φ_S -SSU manifolds	Φ_S -SU	$\pi_1 = \dots = \pi_4 = 0$
$\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic map	$\Phi_{S,p}$ -energy functional $E_{\Phi_{S,p}}$	$\Phi_{S,p}$ -SSU manifolds	$\Phi_{S,p}$ -SU	$\pi_1 = \dots = \pi_{[2p]} = 0$
$\Phi_{(3)}$ -harmonic map	$\Phi_{(3)}$ -energy functional $E_{\Phi_{(3)}}$	$\Phi_{(3)}$ -SSU manifolds	$\Phi_{(3)}$ -SU	$\pi_1 = \dots = \pi_6 = 0$

from the instability of a map to the infimum of variant energy of the map in its homotopy class. For example, we have the following

Theorem 10.1. *Let $M_1^{m_1}, \dots, M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ be compact p -SSU manifolds. Then (i) The product manifold $M = M_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ is a p -SSU manifold of dimension $m = m_1 + \dots + m_\ell$. Hence, M is p -SU. (ii) There is a neighborhood of the product metric g_0 of the product manifold $M = M_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ in the C^2 topology (if M is not compact we must use the strong C^2 topology) such that for every g in this neighborhood the Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a p -SSU manifold of dimension $m = m_1 + \dots + m_\ell$. Hence, compact (M, g) is p -SU.*

Proof. (i) By assumption, for each $1 < i < \ell$ we have an isometric immersion of $M_i^{m_i}$ into \mathbb{R}^{q_i} with the second fundamental for B^{M_i} in such a way that each functional as in (4.2),

$$(4.2') \quad F_{p,x_i}^{M_i}(v) = (p - 2)\langle B^{M_i}(v, v), B^{M_i}(v, v) \rangle + \langle Q_{x_i}^{M_i}(v), v \rangle_{M_i},$$

is negative valued. It follows that for the product immersion of $M = M_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ into \mathbb{R}^q ($q = q_1 + \dots + q_\ell$), $F_{p,x}(v)$ is also negative valued. This proves that M is a p -SSU manifold of dimension m . M is p -SU follows from Theorem 4.4.

To prove (ii), it is enough to show there is a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of g_0 in the C^2 topology such that for every $g \in \mathcal{U}$ the set $\{V_1, \dots, V_\ell\}$ is still universally p -energy E_p decreasing on (M, g) . Let $\mathfrak{M}(M)$ be the space of smooth Riemannian metrics on M with the strong C^2 topology and let $C^\infty(\bullet, M)$ be the space of smooth maps into M . Consider the function on $C^\infty(\bullet, M) \times \mathfrak{M}(M)$ given by

$$(10.1) \quad (u, g) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_p(V_i, u, g) := \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} \|d(\phi_i^{V_i} \circ u)X\|^p.$$

If this is continuous then

$$\mathcal{U} = \{g \in \mathfrak{M}(M) : \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_p(V_i, u, g) < 0 \text{ for all } u \in C^\infty(\bullet, M)\}$$

is the required neighborhood of g_0 . To show that the function given by (10.1) is continuous it is enough to show that for any smooth vector field V the function $(g, u) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_p(V, u, g)$ is continuous.

We define a tensor field of type (1, 1) (i.e. a field of linear endomorphisms of tangent spaces) $\mathcal{A}^V \in \text{Hom}(TM, TM)$ for any smooth vector field V on M , given by

$$(10.2) \quad \mathcal{A}^V X = \nabla_X V, \quad \text{where } \nabla \text{ is the Riemannian connection on } M.$$

We note $\mathcal{E}_p(V, u, g)$ involves with \mathcal{A}^V and $\nabla_V \mathcal{A}^V$. When $p = 2$, $\mathcal{E}_p(V, u, g)$ becomes

$$\mathcal{E}(V, u, g) = g(\mathcal{A}^V \mathcal{A}^V X, X) + g(\mathcal{A}^V X, \mathcal{A}^V X) + g(\nabla_V \mathcal{A}^V, X).$$

Let x^1, \dots, x^n be local coordinates on M and let $g_{ij} = g(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})$ be the components of g in this coordinate system. Let the Christoffel symbols Γ_{ij}^k be given as usual by $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = \sum_{k=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$. Then by a well known formula

$$(10.3) \quad \Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^n g^{k\ell} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\ell j}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial g_{\ell i}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^\ell} \right).$$

(where $[g^{ij}]$ is the inverse of the matrix $[g_{ij}]$). If the vector field V is locally given by $V = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and the components $(\mathcal{A}^V)_i^j$ and $(\nabla_V \mathcal{A}^V)_i^j$ are given by

$$(\mathcal{A}^V) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathcal{A}^V)_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \quad (\nabla_V \mathcal{A}^V) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \sum_{j=1}^n (\nabla_V \mathcal{A}^V)_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$$

then a little calculation shows that

$$(10.4) \quad (\mathcal{A}^V)_i^j = \frac{\partial v^j}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{k=1}^n v^k \Gamma_{ik}^j.$$

$$(10.5) \quad (\nabla_V \mathcal{A}^V)_i^j = \sum_{k=1}^n v^k \frac{\partial a_i^j}{\partial x^k} + \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n (a_i^\ell v^k \Gamma_{k\ell}^j - a_\ell^j v^k \Gamma_{ki}^\ell),$$

where $a_i^j = (\mathcal{A}^V)_i^j$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_V \mathcal{A}^V) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} &= \nabla_V (\mathcal{A}^V (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})) - \mathcal{A}^V (\nabla_V \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \\ &= \nabla_{\sum_{k=1}^n v^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) - \nabla_{\sum_{k=1}^n v^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} V \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n v^k \frac{\partial a_i^j}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n (a_i^j v^k \Gamma_{kj}^\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} - v^k \Gamma_{ki}^\ell \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell}} V), \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n v^k \frac{\partial a_i^j}{\partial x^k} + \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n (a_i^\ell v^k \Gamma_{k\ell}^j - a_\ell^j v^k \Gamma_{ki}^\ell) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \end{aligned}$$

Putting (10.3) into (10.4) and (10.5) and the result of that into (10.1) gives $\mathcal{E}_p(V, u, g)$ as a rational function of the g_{ij} and their first two derivatives. Thus $\mathcal{E}_p(V, u, g)$ is clearly a continuous function of g in the strong C^2 topology. Analogously, we can show the function

$$(10.6) \quad (u, g) \mapsto \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} \|d(u \circ \phi_t^{v_i^T})X\|^p$$

is a continuous function of g in the strong topology. This completes the proof. □

There is an analog of a neighborhood of g_0 in the C^2 topology in unstable rectifiable currents (cf. [26, Theorem 2.1]). Proceed in the same spirit, by a continuous function of metric g in the strong topology as in the proof of Theorem 10.1, we obtain

Theorem 10.2. *Let $M_1^{m_1}, \dots, M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ be compact X -SSU manifolds, where X - denotes one of the following: $\Phi_{(1)}$ -, Φ_S -, $\Phi_{S,p}$ -, $\Phi_{(2)}$ -, $\Phi_{(3)}$ -. Then (i) The product manifold $M = M_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ is an X -SSU manifold of dimension $m = m_1 + \dots + m_\ell$. Hence, M is X -SU, i.e. M is the corresponding $\Phi_{(1)}$ -SU, Φ_S -SU, $\Phi_{S,p}$ -SU, $\Phi_{(2)}$ -SU, or $\Phi_{(3)}$ -SU. (ii) There is a neighborhood of the product metric g_0 of the product manifold $M = M_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times M_\ell^{m_\ell}$ in the C^2 topology (if M is not compact we must use*

the strong C^2 topology) such that for every g in this neighborhood the Riemannian manifold (M, g) is an X -SSU manifold of dimension $m = m_1 + \cdots + m_\ell$. Hence, compact (M, g) is X -SU.

Remark 10.3. The extrinsic average variational method in the calculus of variations also marks the birth of

- (i) the first nonexistence theorem of stable Yang-Mills fields on product manifolds ([44]).
- (ii) the first classification of stable rectifiable currents on product manifolds ([51]).
- (iii) the first nonexistence theorem of nonconstant stable harmonic maps into product manifolds ([43]).

11. LIOUVILLE TYPE THEOREMS FOR STABLE HARMONIC MAPS INTO SSU MANIFOLDS ([46])

We extended our study on the nonexistence of stable harmonic maps between compact manifolds ([25]) to that between complete, non-compact ones. Thus, employing the extrinsic average variational method in the calculus of variations, S.W. Wei established the first Liouville-type theorem of stable harmonic maps into SSU manifolds.

We recall a manifold M is said to be *parabolic* if M admits no nonconstant positive superharmonic function. Whereas a complete noncompact manifold with quadratic volume growth is parabolic, Wei-Li-Wu constructed examples of p -parabolic manifolds with exponential volume growth (cf. [58]).

Theorem 11.1 ([46]). *Every smooth, stable harmonic map $u : M \rightarrow N$ from a parabolic manifold M into any SSU-manifold N is constant.*

Using the extrinsic average variational method in the calculus of variations, S.W. Wei and C.M. Yau ([60]) extend and generalize the above results to Liouville Theorems for stable p -harmonic maps into p -SSU manifolds

In contrast to vanishing theorems for differential forms with values in vector bundles ([13, 12]), Liouville theorems for $\Phi_{(1)}$ -harmonic maps, (resp. $\Phi_{(3)}$ -harmonic maps, and $\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic maps), by using techniques of $\Phi_{(1)}$ -stress-energy tensor, $\Phi_{(1)}$ -conservation law, monotonicity formula for $\Phi_{(1)}$ -energy (resp. $\Phi_{(3)}$ -stress-energy tensor, $\Phi_{(3)}$ -conservation law, monotonicity formula for $\Phi_{(3)}$ -energy, and $\Phi_{S,p}$ -stress-energy tensor, $\Phi_{S,p}$ -conservation law, and monotonicity formula for $\Phi_{S,p}$ -energy) are derived in ([28, 16, 18]).

12. REGULARITY OF ENERGY-MINIMIZING MAPS AND SSU-INDEX ([46])

For a given SSU manifold, S.W. Wei found the first SSU-index w . From a viewpoint of geometric measure theory, this index w serves as an indication of the regularity of L_1^2 energy minimizing harmonic maps into SSU manifolds.

We recall a map $\bar{u} : \mathbb{R}^{j+1} \rightarrow N$ is said to be a **p -energy-minimizing tangent map** if \bar{u} is p -energy minimizing on every compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{j+1} and is a homogeneous extension of $u : S^j \rightarrow N$ of degree-zero, i.e., $\bar{u}(x) = u(\frac{x}{|x|})$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{j+1} \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 12.1 (Hardt-Lin [22], Theorem 4.5, p.573). *Suppose ℓ is the largest integer such that any p -energy minimizing tangent map from the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^j into N is a constant map for each $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. Then the interior singular set of any p -energy minimizing tangent map $u \in L_1^p(\Omega, N)$ is empty in case $n < \ell + 1$, is a discrete set in case $n = \ell + 1$, and has Hausdorff dimension $n - \ell - 1$ in case $n \geq \ell + 1$ (Where Ω is a C^2 bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with the Euclidean metric).*

In applying Theorem 12.1, we find SSU-index w is a number between $0 < w < 1$, the *higher* the index w is (or the *closer* w is to 1), the *higher dimension* ℓ of the domain of trivial minimizing tangent map \bar{u} is (or the *easier* Liouville theorem for minimizing tangent map \bar{u} holds), and hence the *smaller* the size of the Hausdorff dimension of the singular set $= n - \ell - 1$ is (or the *smoother* of $L^{1,2}$ - energy minimizing map into SSU-manifold is, in terms of SSU-index (cf. [46])).

This result is extended to the regularity of p -energy minimizing $L^{1,p}$ map into p -SSU manifolds in terms of p -SSU-index by Wei-Yau. ([60]).

On the other hand, the following regularity theorem is attained.

Theorem 12.2 (Wei-Yau [60], Theorem 1.4). *Every p -energy minimizing $L^{1,p}$ -map into a manifold N with sectional curvature $\text{Riem}^N \leq 0$ or into a domain of a convex function is $C^{1,\alpha}$.*

Proof. By the first variation formula for p -energy E_p formula ([60] p.249), any p -energy minimizing tangent map \bar{u} from the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^j into a N is a constant map, for every integer j . Hence, $n < \ell + 1$. In view of Theorem 12.1, the singular set of $L^{1,p}$ map u is then empty. It follows from the regularity theorem ([22, 33]) that u is $C^{1,\alpha}$. \square

13. EXISTENCE THEOREM BY DIRECT METHOD AND REGULARITY THEORY

Regularity Theorem 12.2 of p -energy minimizing $L^{1,p}$ maps is used to represent components of the space $C^0(M, N) \cap L^p_1(M, N)$ by p -harmonic maps (cf. [47]). Indeed, S.W. Wei uses the direct method in the Calculus of Variations [3, 57] and the regularity theory [22, 60] to obtain an existence theorem for p -harmonic maps, generalizing the work of Eells-Sampson [15], Schoen-Yau [38] and Burstall [3] which treat the case $p = 2$.

Theorem 13.1 (Existence Theorem, Wei [47], Theorem 2.2). *Let M be a complete Riemannian n -manifold and N be a compact Riemannian manifold with a contractible universal cover \tilde{N} and assume that N has no non-trivial p -minimizing tangent map of R^ℓ for $\ell \leq n$. Then any continuous (or more generally L^p_1 -) map u from M into N of finite p -energy can be deformed to a $C^{1,\alpha}$ p -harmonic map u_0 minimizing p -energy in the homotopic class, where $1 < p < \infty$.*

14. DIRICHLET BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

Regularity Theorem 12.2 of p -energy minimizing $L^{1,p}$ maps is also used to solve Dirichlet boundary value problem for p -harmonic maps into manifolds with nonpositive sectional curvature, generalizing the case $p = 2$ for harmonic maps due to R. S. Hamilton ([19]). Indeed,

Theorem 14.1 (Existence Theorem, S.W. Wei ([48])). *Let M be a compact Riemannian n -manifold with boundary ∂M and N be a compact Riemannian manifold with a contractible universal cover \tilde{N} and assume that N has no non-trivial p -minimizing tangent map of R^ℓ for $\ell \leq n$. Then any $u \in \text{Lip}(\partial M, N) \cap C^0(M, N)$ of finite p -energy can be deformed to a p -harmonic map $u_0 \in C^{1,\alpha}(M - \partial M, N) \cap C^\alpha(M, N)$ minimizing p -energy in the homotopy class with $u_0|_{\partial M} = u|_{\partial M}$, where $1 < p < \infty$. In particular, every $u \in C^1(M, N)$ can be deformed to a $C^{1,\alpha}$ p -harmonic map u_0 in $M - \partial M$ minimizing p -energy in the homotopy class with Hölder continuous $u_0|_{\partial M} = u|_{\partial M}$.*

Furthermore, S.W. Wei proves, in particular the uniqueness of solutions of Dirichlet boundary value problem for p -harmonic maps into manifolds with nonpositive sectional curvature ([48]). The case $p = 2$ is due to P. Hartman ([20]), where the heat flow method is used.

Theorem 14.2 (Uniqueness Theorem, S.W. Wei ([48])). *If u_0 and u_1 are homotopic p -harmonic maps from a compact manifold M with possible empty boundary into a compact manifold N with sectional curvature $\text{Riem}^N \leq 0$ (and a homotopy $F : M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$ between u_0 and u_1), then they are homotopic through p -harmonic maps $u_s(\cdot) (= G(\cdot, s))$, where $G : M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$ with $s \mapsto G(x, s)$ as a unique geodesic arc in N connecting $u_0(x)$ to $u_1(x)$ and homotopic to the curve $t \mapsto F(x, t)$ for each $x \in M$, and the p -energy is constant on any arcwise connected set of p -harmonic maps, i.e. $E_p(u_s) = E_p(u_0) = E_p(u_1)$ for $\forall s \in (0, 1)$. Furthermore, each path $s \mapsto G(x, s)$ has length independent of $x \in M$.*

In particular, (1) Every homotopy class of a p -harmonic map from M to N which agree on a nonempty ∂M with $\text{Riem}^N \leq 0$ contains a unique p -harmonic map.

(2) If $u_0 : M \rightarrow N$ is a p -harmonic map with $\partial M = \phi$ and $Riem^N \leq 0$. Assume that there is some point of $u_0(M)$ at which $Riem^N < 0$. Then u_0 is unique in its homotopy class unless it is constant or maps M onto a closed geodesic σ in N . In the latter case, we have uniqueness up to rotations of σ .

Hence every homotopy class of a p -harmonic map from M into N of rank greater than one at some point of M with $\partial M = \phi$ and $Riem^N < 0$ contains a unique p -harmonic map.

14.1. Dirichlet Boundary Value Problem for differential 1-form (e.g., [29, 13, 54]). Let $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a C^2 function such that $F' > 0$ on $[0, \infty)$, and $F(0) = 0$ (as stated in §1, unifying F -harmonic maps and F -Yang-Mills fields). We recall The F -degree d_F is defined to be

$$(14.1) \quad d_F = \sup_{t \geq 0} \frac{tF'(t)}{F(t)}.$$

F -lower degree l_F is defined to be

$$(14.2) \quad l_F = \inf_{t \geq 0} \frac{tF'(t)}{F(t)}.$$

A bounded domain $D \subset M$ with C^1 boundary is called *starlike* (relative to x_0) if there exists an inner point $x_0 \in D$ such that

$$(14.3) \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{x_0}}, \nu \right\rangle|_{\partial D} \geq 0,$$

where ν is the unit outer normal to ∂D , and for any $x \in D \setminus \{x_0\} \cup \partial D$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{x_0}}(x)$ is the unit vector field tangent to the unique geodesic emanating from x_0 to x .

It is obvious that any disc or convex domain is starlike. Let r be the distance function on M relative to x_0 , $D(x_0) = M \setminus (\text{Cut}(x_0) \cup \{x_0\})$, $B_t(x_0) = \{x \in M : r(x) < t\}$, and a punctured geodesic ball $\overset{\circ}{B}_t(x_0) = B_t(x_0) \setminus \{x_0\}$.

Theorem 14.3 (Dirichlet Boundary Value Problem for differential 1-form, S.W.Weï [54]). *Let D be a bounded starlike domain (relative to x_0) with C^1 boundary in a complete Riemannian n -manifold M . Let $\xi : E \rightarrow M$ be a Riemannian vector bundle on M and $\omega \in A^1(\xi)$. Assume that the radial curvature $K(r)$ of M satisfies one of the following seven conditions:*

- (i) $-\frac{A(A-1)}{r^2} \leq K(r) \leq -\frac{A_1(A_1-1)}{r^2}$ where $A \geq A_1 \geq 1$ on $M \setminus \{x_0\}$, with $1 + (n-1)A_1 - 2d_F A > 0$;
 - (ii) $-\frac{A}{r^2} \leq K(r) \leq -\frac{A_1}{r^2}$ where $0 \leq A_1 \leq A$ on $M \setminus \{x_0\}$.
with $1 + (n-1)\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4A_1}}{2} - d_F(1 + \sqrt{1+4A}) > 0$;
 - (iii) $\frac{B_1(1-B_1)}{r^2} \leq K(r) \leq \frac{B(1-B)}{r^2}$, $0 \leq B, B_1 \leq 1$ on $\overset{\circ}{B}_\tau(x_0) \subset D(x_0)$,
with $1 + (n-1)(|B - \frac{1}{2}| + \frac{1}{2}) - d_F(1 + \sqrt{1+4B_1(1-B_1)}) > 0$;
 - (iv) $\frac{B_1}{r^2} \leq K(r) \leq \frac{B}{r^2}$ where $0 \leq B_1 \leq B \leq \frac{1}{4}$ on $\overset{\circ}{B}_\tau(x_0) \subset D(x_0)$,
with $1 + (n-1)\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4B}}{2} - d_F(1 + \sqrt{1+4B_1}) > 0$;
 - (v) $-\alpha^2 \leq K(r) \leq -\beta^2$ with $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ and $(n-1)\beta - 2\alpha d_F \geq 0$;
 - (vi) $K(r) = 0$ with $n - 2d_F > 0$;
 - (vii) $-\frac{A}{(1+r^2)^{1+\epsilon}} \leq K(r) \leq \frac{B}{(1+r^2)^{1+\epsilon}}$ with $\epsilon > 0, A \geq 0, 0 < B < 2\epsilon$ and $n - (n-1)\frac{B}{2\epsilon} - 2e^{\frac{A}{2\epsilon}} d_F > 0$.
- (14.4)

Assume that $l_F \geq \frac{1}{2}$. If $\omega \in A^1(\xi)$ satisfies F -conservation law and annihilates any tangent vector η of ∂D , then ω vanishes on D .

14.2. Dirichlet problems for F -harmonic maps. As an application of Theorem 14.3, we solve the following

Theorem 14.4 (S.W. Wei([54]) Dirichlet problems for F -harmonic maps). *Let M , D , and ξ be as in Theorem 14.3. Assume that the radial curvature $K(r)$ of M satisfies one of the seven conditions in (14.4). Let $u : \overline{D} \rightarrow N$ be an F -harmonic map with $l_F \geq \frac{1}{2}$ into an arbitrary Riemannian manifold N . If $u|_{\partial D}$ is constant, then $u|_D$ is constant.*

Proof. Take $\omega = du$. Then $\omega|_{\partial D} = 0$. Hence ω satisfies an F -conservation law and annihilates any tangent vector η of ∂D . The result follows at once from Theorem 14.3 and [13, Theorem 6.1]. \square

14.3. Dirichlet problems for p -harmonic maps. As an application of Theorem 14.4, we solve the following

Corollary 14.5 ([54] Dirichlet problems for p -harmonic maps). *Suppose M and D satisfy the same assumptions of Theorem 14.4. Assume that the radial curvature $K(r)$ of M satisfies one of the seven conditions in (14.4). Let $u : \overline{D} \rightarrow N$ be a p -harmonic map ($p \geq 1$) into an arbitrary Riemannian manifold N . If $u|_{\partial D}$ is constant, then $u|_D$ is constant.*

Proof. For a p -harmonic map u , we have $F(t) = \frac{1}{p}(2t)^{\frac{p}{2}}$. Obviously $d_F = l_F = \frac{p}{2}$. Take $\omega = du$. This corollary follows immediately from Theorem 14.4. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin, *Equations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **55(9)** (1976), 269-296.
- [2] M. Ara, *Instability and nonexistence theorems for F -harmonic maps*, Illinois J. Math. **45(2)** (2001), 657-679.
- [3] F.E. Burstall *Harmonic maps of finite energy from non-compact manifolds* J. London Math. Soc. **30** (1984) 137-147.
- [4] P. Baird and J. Eells, *A conservation law for harmonic maps*, Geom. Sympos. (Utrecht, 1980), Lecture Notes in Math, vol. 894, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1980, pp. 1-25.
- [5] J.P. Bourguignon, H.B. Lawson and J. Simons, *Stability and gap phenomena for Yang-Mills fields*, Proc. National Acad. Sci. **76(4)** (1979), 1550-1553.
- [6] S-Y. A. Chang, M.J. Gursky and P.C. Yang, *An equation of Monge-Ampère type in conformal geometry, and four-manifolds of positive Ricci curvature*, Ann. of Math. **155(3)** (2002), 709-787.
- [7] B.-Y. Chen and S.W. Wei, *Sharp growth estimates for warping functions in multiply warped product manifolds*, J. Geom. Symmetry Phys. **52** (2019), 27-46.
- [8] B.-Y. Chen and S.W. Wei, *Riemannian submanifolds with concircular canonical field*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **56(6)** (2019), 1525-1537.
- [9] L. Caffarelli. L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *Starshaped compact Weingarten hypersurfaces*, J. Nonlinear Second Order Elliptic Equations, in Current Topics in Partial Differential Equations, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1986, 1-26.
- [10] S-Y. A. Chang and P.C. Yang, *The inequality of Moser and Trudinger and applications to conformal geometry* (Dedicated to the memory of Jorgen K. Moser), Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **56(8)** (2003), 1135-1150.
- [11] P. Delanoë, *Plongements radiaux $S^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ courbure de Gauss positive prescrite* (in French) [*Radial embeddings $S^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with prescribed positive Gauss curvature*], Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **18(4)** (1985), 635-649.
- [12] Y.X. Dong, H. Lin and S.W. Wei, *L^2 curvature pinching theorems and vanishing theorems on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. **71** (2019), no. 4, 581-607.

- [13] Y. X. Dong and S.W. Wei, *On vanishing theorems for vector bundle valued p -forms and their applications*, Comm. Math. Phys. **304** (2011), 329-368.
- [14] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, *Selected topics in harmonic maps*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **50**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1983.
- [15] J. Eells and J.H. Sampson, *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*, Am. J. Math. **86**(1) (1964), 109-160.
- [16] S. Feng, Y. Han, K. Jiang and S.W. Wei, *The geometry of $\Phi_{(3)}$ -harmonic maps*, Nonlinear Anal. **234** (2023), art. no. 113318.
- [17] S.X. Feng, Y.B. Han, X. Li and S.W. Wei, *The geometry of Φ_S -harmonic maps*, J. Geom. Anal. **31**(10) (2021), 9469-9508.
- [18] S.X. Feng, Y.B. Han and S.W. Wei, *Liouville type theorems and stability of $\Phi_{S,p}$ -harmonic maps*, Nonlinear Anal. **212** (2021), art. no. 112468.
- [19] R.S. Hamilton, *Harmonic Maps of Manifolds with Boundary*, Lecture Notes in Math. **471**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [20] P. Hartman, *On homotopy harmonic maps*, Canad. J. Math. **19** (1967), 673-687.
- [21] M. W. Hirsch, *Differential Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **33**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994 (Corrected reprint of the 1976 original).
- [22] R. Hardt and F. H. Lin, *Mapping minimizing the L^p norm of the gradient*, Commun. Pure Appl Math. **XL** (1987), 555-588.
- [23] R. Harvey and H.B. Lawson, Jr., *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. **148** (1982), 47-57.
- [24] Y.B. Han and S.W. Wei, *Φ -harmonic maps and Φ -superstrongly unstable manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **32**(3) (2022), 43 pp.
- [25] R. Howard and S.W. Wei, *Nonexistence of stable harmonic maps to and from certain homogeneous spaces and submanifolds of Euclidean space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **294** (1986), 319-331.
- [26] R. Howard and S.W. Wei, *On the existence and nonexistence of stable submanifolds and currents in positively curved manifolds and the topology of submanifolds in Euclidean spaces*, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds and Currents, Contemp. Math. **646** (2015), 127-167.
- [27] Z.R. Jin, *A counterexample to the Yamabe problem for complete noncompact manifolds*, Lect. Notes Math. **1306** (1988), 93-101.
- [28] Z.R. Jin, *Liouville theorems for harmonic maps*, Invent Math. **108** (1992), 1-10.
- [29] H. Karcher and J.C. Wood, *Non-existence results and growth properties for harmonic maps and forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **353** (1984), 165-180.
- [30] S. Kobayashi, Y. Ohnita and M. Takeuchi, *On instability of Yang-Mills connections*, Math. Z. **193**(2) (1986), 165-189.
- [31] P.F. Leung, *On the stability of harmonic maps*, Harmonic Maps, Lecture Notes in Math. **949**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982, 122-129.
- [32] H.B. Lawson and J. Simons, *On stable currents, and their application to global problems in real and complex geometry*, Ann. Math. **110** (1979), 127-142.
- [33] S. Luckhaus, *Partial Hölder continuity for minima of certain energies among maps into a Riemannian manifold*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **37** (1988), 349-367.
- [34] W. Li and S.W. Wei, *Geometry and topology of submanifolds and currents*, Selected papers from the 2013 Midwest Geometry Conference (MGC XIX) held at Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, October 19, 2013 and the 2012 Midwest Geometry Conference (MGC XVIII) held at the University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, May 12-13, 2012 (Edited by Weiping Li and Shihshu Walter Wei), Contemporary Mathematics **646** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [35] Y. Ohnita, *Stability of harmonic maps and standard minimal immersion*, Tohoku Math. J. **38** (1986), 259-267.
- [36] V.I. Oliker, *Hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with prescribed Gaussian curvature and related equations of Monge-Ampere type*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **9**(8) (1984), 807-838.

- [37] R. Schoen, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), 479-495.
- [38] R. Schoen and S.T. Yau, *Harmonic maps and the topology of stable hypersurfaces and manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Math. Helv. **51** (1976) 333-341.
- [39] J. Spruck, *Geometric aspects of the theory of fully nonlinear elliptic equations. Global theory of minimal surfaces*, 283-309, Clay Math. Proc., 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, 283-309.
- [40] Y.B. Shen and S.W. Wei, *The stability of harmonic maps on Finsler manifolds*, Houston J. Math. **34(4)** (2008), 1049-1056.
- [41] N.S. Trudinger, *Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **22(3)** (1968), 265-274.
- [42] A.E. Treibergs and S.W. Wei, *Embedded hyperspheres with prescribed mean curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **18(3)** (1983), 513-521.
- [43] S.W. Wei, *An average process in the calculus of variations and the stability of harmonic maps*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **11**(1983), 469-474.
- [44] S.W. Wei, *On topological vanishing theorems and the stability of Yang-Mills fields*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **33(4)** (1984), 511-529.
- [45] S.W. Wei, *An extrinsic average variational method*, Recent Developments in Geometry (Los Angeles, CA, 1987), Contemp. Math. **101** Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1989, 55-78.
- [46] S.W. Wei, *Liouville theorems and regularity of minimizing harmonic maps into super-strongly unstable manifolds*, Geometry and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations (Fayetteville, AR, 1990), Contemp. Math. **127**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1992, 131-154.
- [47] S.W. Wei, *The minima of the p -energy functional*, Elliptic and Parabolic Methods in Geometry (Minneapolis, MN, 1994), A.K. Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1996, 171-203.
- [48] S. W. Wei, *Representing homotopy groups and spaces of maps by p -harmonic maps*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **47** (1998), 625-670.
- [49] S.W. Wei, *On 1-harmonic functions*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **3** (2007), art. no. 127.
- [50] S.W. Wei, *p -Harmonic geometry and related topics*, Bull. Transilv. Univ. Brasov Ser. III 1 (**50**) (2008), 415-453.
- [51] S.W. Wei, *Classification of stable currents in the product of spheres*, Tamkang J. Math. **42(4)** (2011), 427-438.
- [52] S.W. Wei, *The unity of p -harmonic geometry*, Recent Developments in Geometry and Analysis, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM) **23** (2012), Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 439-483.
- [53] S.W. Wei, *Growth estimates for generalized harmonic forms on noncompact manifolds with geometric applications*, Geometry of Submanifolds, Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, **756** (2020), 247-269.
- [54] S.W. Wei, *Dualities in comparison theorems and bundle-valued generalized harmonic forms on noncompact manifolds*, Sci. China Math. **64** (2021), 1649-1702.
- [55] S.W. Wei, *On exponential Yang-Mills fields and p -Yang-Mills fields*, Adv. Anal. Geom., De Gruyter, Berlin **6** (2022), 317-358.
- [56] B. White, *Infima of energy functionals in homotopy classes of mappings*. J. Differential Geom. **23(2)** (1986), 127-142.
- [57] B. White *Homotopy classes in Sobolev spaces and the existence of energy minimizing maps* Acta Math. **160** (1988) 1-17.
- [58] S.W. Wei, J. F. Li and L. Wu, *Generalizations of the Uniformization Theorem and Bochner's Method in p -Harmonic Geometry*. Proceedings of the 2006 Midwest Geometry Conference, Commun. Math. Anal. 2008, Conference 1, 46-68.
- [59] L. Wu, S.W. Wei, J. Liu and Y. Li, *Discovering geometric and topological properties of ellipsoids by curvatures*. Br. J. Math. Comput. Sci. **8(4)** (2015), 318-329.

- [60] S. W. Wei and C. M. Yau, *Regularity of p -energy minimizing maps and p -superstrongly unstable indices*, J. Geom. Anal. **4**(2) (1994), 247-272.
- [61] S.W. Wei, L. Wu and Y.S. Zhang, *Remarks on stable minimal hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds and generalized Bernstein problems*, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds and Currents, Contemp. Math. **646** (2015), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 169-186.
- [62] Y.L. Xin, *Some results on stable harmonic maps*, Duke Math. J. **47** (1980), 643-648
- [63] Y.L. Xin, *Instability theorems of Yang-Mills fields*, Acta Mathematica Scientia **3**(1) (1983), 103-112.
- [64] S.T. Yau, *Problem section. Seminar on Differential Geometry*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **102** (1982), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 669-706.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA, NORMAN, OKLAHOMA 73019-0315, U.S.A.

Email address: wwei@ou.edu