INTERVAL-VALUED INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY BI-IDEALS IN TERNARY SEMIRINGS

D. KRISHNASWAMY, J. JAYARAJ AND T. ANITHA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce the notions of interval-valued fuzzy bi-ideal, interval-valued anti fuzzy bi-ideal and interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal in ternary semirings and some of the basic properties of these ideals are investigated. We also introduce normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in ternary semirings.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 08A72, 16Y60, 12K10, 17A40. Keywords:interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideal, interval-valued fuzzy bi-ideal, interval-valued anti fuzzy bi-ideal, interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal, normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideal, interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy maximal ideal

Article history: Received 21 September 2015 Received in revised form 12 January 2016 Accepted 24 January 2016

1. Introduction

The notion of ternary algebraic system was introduced by Lehmer (see [18]) in 1932. He investigated certain ternary algebraic systems called triplexes. In 1971, Lister (see [19]) characterized additive semi-groups of rings which are closed under the triple ring product and he called this algebraic system a ternary ring. Dutta and Kar (see [3]) introduced a notion of ternary semirings which is a generalization of ternary rings and semirings, and they studied some properties of ternary semirings (see [3] - [9], [12]).

The theory of fuzzy sets was first studied by Zadeh (see [21]) in 1965. Many papers on fuzzy sets appeared showing the importance of the concept and its applications to logic, set theory, group theory, ring theory, real analysis, topology, measure theory, etc. Interval-valued fuzzy sets were introduced independently by Zadeh (see [22]), Grattan-Guiness (see [11]), Sambuc (see [20]) in the same year 1975 as a generalization of fuzzy set. An interval-valued fuzzy set is a fuzzy set whose membership function is many-valued and forms an interval in the membership scale. This idea gives the simplest method to capture the imprecision of the membership grades for a fuzzy set. Thus, interval-valued fuzzy sets provide a more adequate description of uncertainty than the traditional fuzzy sets. It is therefore important to use interval-valued fuzzy sets in applications. One of the main applications is in fuzzy control and the most computationally intensive part of fuzzy control is defuzzification. Since the transition of intervalvalued fuzzy sets usually increases the amount of computations, it is vitally important to design some faster algorithms for the necessarily defuzzification. On the other hand, Atanassov (see [1]) introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as an extension of fuzzy set in which not only a membership degree is given, but also a non-membership degree is involved. At an assov and Gargov (see [2]) introduced the notion of interval-valued intuionistic fuzzy sets which is a common generalization of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and interval-valued fuzzy sets. Dutta et al. (see [10]) introduced the notion of interval-valued fuzzy prime ideal of a semiring. Kar et al. (see[13]) introduced the notion of interval-valued prime fuzzy ideal of semigroups. Kavikumar et al. (see [14] and [15]) studied fuzzy ideals, fuzzy bi-ideals and fuzzy quasiideals in ternary semirings. Krishnaswamy and Anitha (see [16]) and (see [17]) studied the fuzzy prime ideals and (λ, μ) -fuzzy quasi ideals and bi-ideals in ternary semirings. In this paper we first apply the concept of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets to ternary semirings. Then we introduce the notions of interval-valued fuzzy bi-ideal, interval-valued anti fuzzy bi-ideal and interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal in ternary semirings and some of the basic properties of these ideals are investigated. We also introduce normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in ternary semirings.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we refer to some elementary aspects of the theory of ternary semirings and intervalvalued fuzzy algebraic systems that are necessary for this paper.

Definition 2.1. [15] A nonempty set S together with a binary operation called, addition + and a ternary multiplication, denoted by juxtaposition, is said to be a ternary semiring if (S, +) is a commutative semigroup satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) (abc)de = a(bcd)e = ab(cde),
- (ii) (a+b)cd = acd + bcd,
- (iii) a(b+c)d = abd + acd
- and (iv) ab(c+d) = abc + abd for all $a, b, c, d, e \in S$.

Definition 2.2. [15] Let S be a ternary semiring. If there exists an element $0 \in S$ such that 0 + x =x = x + 0 and 0xy = x0y = xy0 = 0 for all $x, y \in S$, then 0 is called the zero element or simply the zero of the ternary semiring S. In this case we say that S is a ternary semiring with zero.

Throughout this paper S denotes a ternary semiring with zero.

Definition 2.3. [15] An additive subsemigroup T of S is called a ternary subsemiring of S if $t_1t_2t_3 \in T$ for all $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in T$.

Definition 2.4. [15] An additive subsemigroup I of S is called a left [resp. right, lateral] ideal of S if $s_1s_2i \in I$ [resp. $is_1s_2 \in I$, $s_1is_2 \in I$] for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$ and $i \in I$. If I is a left, right and lateral ideal of S, then I is called an ideal of S.

It is obvious that every ideal of a ternary semiring with zero contains the zero element.

Definition 2.5. [15] An additive subsemigroup (B, +) of a ternary semiring S is called a bi-ideal of S if $BSBSB \subseteq B$.

An interval number on [0,1], denoted by \tilde{a} , is defined as the closed sub interval of [0,1], where \tilde{a} $[a^-, a^+]$ satisfying $0 \le a^- \le a^+ \le 1$.

The set of all interval numbers is denoted by D[0,1]. The interval [a,a] is identified with the number $a \in [0,1].$

Definition 2.6. [10], [13] Let $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+]$ and $\tilde{b} = [b^-, b^+]$ be two interval numbers in D[0, 1]. Then i) $\widetilde{a} \leq \widetilde{b}$ if and only if $a^- \leq b^-$ and $a^+ \leq b^+$,

- ii) $\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} = [a^- + b^-, a^+ + b^+],$
- iii) If $\widetilde{a} \geq \widetilde{b}$ then $\widetilde{a} \widetilde{b} = [\min\{a^{-} b^{-}, a^{+} b^{+}\}, \max\{a^{-} b^{-}, a^{+} b^{+}\}],$ iv) $\inf \widetilde{a}_{i} = [\bigwedge_{i \in I} a_{i}^{-}, \bigwedge_{i \in I} a_{i}^{+}], \sup \widetilde{a}_{i} = [\bigvee_{i \in I} a_{i}^{-}, \bigvee_{i \in I} a_{i}^{+}] \text{ for interval numbers } \widetilde{a}_{i} = [a_{i}^{-}, a_{i}^{+}] \in D[0, 1], i \in I.$

Let $\{\widetilde{a}_i\}$, $i=1,2,\cdots,n$ for some $n\in Z^+$ be a finite number of interval numbers, where $\widetilde{a}_i=[a_i^-,a_i^+]$. Then we define $Max^i\{\widetilde{a}_i\} = [max\{a_i^-\}, max\{a_i^+\}]$ and $Min^i\{\widetilde{a}_i\} = [min\{a_i^-\}, min\{a_i^+\}]$.

In this paper we assume that any two interval numbers in D[0,1] are comparable. i.e. for any two interval numbers \widetilde{a} and b in D[0,1], we have either $\widetilde{a} \leq b$ or $\widetilde{a} > b$. It is clear that $(D[0,1], \leq, \vee, \wedge)$ is a complete lattice with $\tilde{0} = [0, 0]$ as the least element and $\tilde{1} = [1, 1]$ as the greatest element.

Definition 2.7. [10] Let X be a non-empty set. A map $\widetilde{\mu}: X \to D[0,1]$ is called an interval-valued fuzzy subset of X. The complement of an interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\mu}$ of a set X is denoted by $\widetilde{\mu}^c$ and defined as $\widetilde{\mu}^c(x) = \widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\mu}(x)$, for all $x \in X$.

Note: We can write $\widetilde{\mu}(x) = [\mu^-(x), \mu^+(x)]$ for all $x \in X$, for any interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\mu}$ of a non empty set X, where μ^- and μ^+ are some fuzzy subsets of X.

Definition 2.8. [10] Let $\widetilde{\mu}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}$ be two interval-valued fuzzy subsets of a non-empty set X. Then $\widetilde{\mu}$ is said to be a subset of $\widetilde{\nu}$, denoted by $\widetilde{\mu} \subseteq \widetilde{\nu}$ if $\widetilde{\mu}(x) \leq \widetilde{\nu}(x)$, i.e., $\mu^{-}(x) \leq \nu^{-}(x)$ and $\mu^{+}(x) \leq \nu^{+}(x)$, for all $x \in X$ where $\widetilde{\mu}(x) = [\mu^{-}(x), \mu^{+}(x)]$ and $\widetilde{\nu}(x) = [\nu^{-}(x), \nu^{+}(x)]$.

Definition 2.9. [10] An upper level set of an interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\mu}$ denoted by $\overline{U}(\widetilde{\mu};\widetilde{t})$ is defined as $\overline{U}(\widetilde{\mu};\widetilde{t})=\{x\in X/\widetilde{\mu}(x)\geq \widetilde{t}\}$ and a lower level set of an interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\mu}$ denoted by $\overline{L}(\widetilde{\mu};\widetilde{t})$ is defined as $\overline{L}(\widetilde{\mu};\widetilde{t}) = \{x \in X/\widetilde{\mu}(x) \leq \widetilde{t}\}$, for all $\widetilde{t} \in D[0,1]$.

Definition 2.10. [10] Let $\widetilde{\mu}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}$ be any two interval-valued fuzzy subsets of a nonempty set X. Then $\widetilde{\mu} \cap \widetilde{\nu}$, $\widetilde{\mu} \cup \widetilde{\nu}$, $\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{\nu}$, $\widetilde{\mu} \circ \widetilde{\nu}$ are interval-valued fuzzy subsets of S defined by, for all $x \in S$,

$$\begin{split} (\widetilde{\mu} \cap \widetilde{\nu})(x) &= Min^i \{ \widetilde{\mu}(x), \ \widetilde{\nu}(x) \}, \\ (\widetilde{\mu} \cup \widetilde{\nu})(x) &= Max^i \{ \widetilde{\mu}(x), \ \widetilde{\nu}(x) \}, \\ (\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{\nu})(x) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sup\{Min^i \{ \widetilde{\mu}(y), \widetilde{\nu}(z) \} \} \ if \ x = y + z \\ \widetilde{0} \ \text{otherwise}, \\ \\ (\widetilde{\mu} \circ \widetilde{\nu})(x) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sup\{Min^i \{ \widetilde{\mu}(u), \widetilde{\nu}(v) \} \} \ if \ x = uv, \\ \widetilde{0} \ \text{otherwise}. \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

An interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subset (IIFS for short) defined on non-empty set S as objects of the form

$$A = \{ \langle \widetilde{\mu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(x) \rangle / x \in S \},$$

where the function $\widetilde{\mu}: S \to D[0,1]$ and $\widetilde{\nu}: S \to D[0,1]$ denote the degree of membership (namely $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x)$) and the degree of non-membership (namely $\widetilde{\nu}_A(x)$) for each element $x \in S$ to the set A, respectively, and $\widetilde{0} \leq \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) + \widetilde{\nu}_A(x) \leq \widetilde{1}$, for each $x \in S$.

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ for the interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subset $A = \{ \langle \widetilde{\mu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(x) \rangle / x \in S \}.$

3. Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals

Definition 3.1. An interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subset $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ in S is called an intervalvalued intuitionistic fuzzy right (left, lateral) ideal of S if

- 1. $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x+y) \geq Min^i \{ \widetilde{\mu}_A(x), \widetilde{\mu}_A(y) \},$
- 2. $\widetilde{\mu}_A(xyz) \ge \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) \ (\widetilde{\mu}_A(xyz) \ge \widetilde{\mu}_A(z), \widetilde{\mu}_A(xyz) \ge \widetilde{\mu}_A(y)),$ 3. $\widetilde{\nu}_A(x+y) \le Max^i \{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(y)\},$
- 4. $\widetilde{\nu}_A(xyz) \leq \widetilde{\nu}_A(x)$ $(\widetilde{\nu}_A(xyz) \leq \widetilde{\nu}_A(z), \ \widetilde{\nu}_A(xyz) \leq \widetilde{\nu}_A(y)), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in S.$

Example 3.2. Consider the ternary semiring $S = Z_0^-$, the set of all non positive integers with the usual addition and ternary multiplication. Let the interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\mu}_A$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A$ of S be defined by

$$\widetilde{\mu}_A(x) = \begin{cases} [0.7, 0.8], & \text{if } x \in \langle -3 \rangle \\ [0.1, 0.3], & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{\nu}_A(x) = \begin{cases} [0.1, 0.2], & \text{if } x \in \langle -3 \rangle \\ [0.5, 0.6], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of S.

Definition 3.3. Let $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ be an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subset of S and let $\widetilde{s}, \widetilde{t} \in$ D[0,1]. Then the set $\overline{S}_A^{(\widetilde{s},\widetilde{t})} = \{x \in S \mid \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) \geq \widetilde{s}, \widetilde{\nu}_A(x) \leq \widetilde{t}\}$ is called a $(\widetilde{s},\widetilde{t})$ -level set of $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A,\widetilde{\nu}_A)$.

The set $\{(\widetilde{s},\widetilde{t}) \in Im(\widetilde{\mu}_A) \times Im(\widetilde{\nu}_A) / \widetilde{s} + \widetilde{t} \leq \widetilde{1}\}$ is called image of $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A,\widetilde{\nu}_A)$. Clearly $\overline{S}_A^{(\widetilde{s},\widetilde{t})} = \overline{U}(\widetilde{\mu}_A;\widetilde{s}) \cap \overline{L}(\widetilde{\nu}_A;\widetilde{t})$, where $\overline{U}(\widetilde{\mu}_A;\widetilde{s})$ and $\overline{L}(\widetilde{\nu}_A;\widetilde{t})$ are upper and lower level subsets of $\widetilde{\mu}_A$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A$ respec-

Definition 3.4. An interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\mu}$ of a ternary semiring S is said to be an interval-valued fuzzy bi-ideal of S if

- 1. $\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x+y) \geq Min^{i}\{\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y)\},$ 2. $\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(xs_{1}ys_{2}z) \geq Min^{i}\{\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(z)\},$ for all $x, s_{1}, y, s_{2}, z \in S$.

Example 3.5. Consider the ternary semiring $S = Z_0^-$, the set of all non positive integers with the usual addition and ternary multiplication. Let the interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\mu}_A$ of S be defined by

$$\widetilde{\mu}_A(x) = \begin{cases} [0.6, 0.7], & \text{if } x \in \langle -2 \rangle \\ [0.3, 0.4], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ is an interval-valued fuzzy bi-ideal of S

Definition 3.6. An interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\mu}$ of a ternary semiring S is said to be an interval-valued anti fuzzy bi-ideal of S if

- $\begin{array}{l} 1. \ \widetilde{\mu}_A(x+y) \leq Max^i \{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x), \widetilde{\mu}_A(y)\}, \\ 2. \ \widetilde{\mu}_A(xs_1ys_2z) \leq Max^i \{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x), \widetilde{\mu}_A(y), \widetilde{\mu}_A(z)\}, \ \text{for all} \ x, s_1, y, s_2, z \in S. \end{array}$

Example 3.7. Consider the ternary semiring $S = Z_0^-$, the set of all non positive integers with the usual addition and ternary multiplication. Let the interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\nu}_A$ of S be defined by

$$\widetilde{\nu}_A(x) = \begin{cases} [0.1, 0.2], & \text{if } x \in \langle -2 \rangle \\ [0.7, 0.9], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ is an interval-valued anti fuzzy bi-ideal of S.

Definition 3.8. An interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subset $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ in S is called an intervalvalued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S if

- 1. $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x+y) \ge Min^i \{ \widetilde{\mu}_A(x), \widetilde{\mu}_A(y) \},$
- 2. $\widetilde{\mu}_A(xs_1ys_2z) \ge Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x), \widetilde{\mu}_A(y), \widetilde{\mu}_A(z)\},$
- 3. $\widetilde{\nu}_A(x+y) \leq Max^i \{ \widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(y) \},$
- 4. $\widetilde{\nu}_A(xs_1ys_2z) \leq Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(y), \widetilde{\nu}_A(z)\}, \text{ for all } x, s_1, y, s_2, z \in S.$

Example 3.9. Consider

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & c \\ d & e & b \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d, e, h \in Z_0^- \right\}.$$

Then S is a ternary semiring with respect to r atrix addition and matrix multiplication. Let

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : p, q \in Z_0^- \right\}.$$

Let the interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\mu}_A$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A$ of S be defined by

$$\widetilde{\mu}_A(x) = \begin{cases} [0.6, 0.8], & \text{if } x \in B, \\ [0.1, 0.3], & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{\nu}_A(x) = \begin{cases} [0.1, 0.2], & \text{if } x \in B, \\ [0.4, 0.6], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S, but not an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of S. Since $\widetilde{\mu}_A(ssb) = [0.1, 0.3] < \widetilde{\mu}_A(b)$; $\widetilde{\mu}_A(sbs) = [0.1, 0.3] < \widetilde{\mu}_A(b)$; $\widetilde{\mu}_A(bs) = [0.1, 0.3] < \widetilde{\mu}_A(b)$; $\widetilde{\nu}_A(ssb) = [0.4, 0.6] > \widetilde{\nu}_A(b)$; $\widetilde{\nu}_A(sbs) = [0.4, 0.6] > \widetilde{\nu}_A(b)$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A(bss) = [0.4, 0.6] > \widetilde{\nu}_A(b)$, where

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \ b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3.10. If an interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\mu}$ is an interval-valued fuzzy bi-ideal of a ternary semiring S if and only if $\widetilde{\mu}^c$ is an interval-valued anti fuzzy bi-ideal of S.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\mu}$ be an interval-valued fuzzy bi-ideal of a ternary semiring S. Let $x, y, z \in S$. Then

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mu}(x+y) &\geq Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}(x),\widetilde{\mu}(y)\} \\ \Rightarrow &-\widetilde{\mu}(x+y) \leq -Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}(x),\widetilde{\mu}(y)\} \\ \Rightarrow &\widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\mu}(x+y) \leq \widetilde{1} - Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}(x),\widetilde{\mu}(y)\} \\ \Rightarrow &\widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\mu}(x+y) \leq Max^i\{\widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\mu}(x),\widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\mu}(y)\} \\ \Rightarrow &\widetilde{\mu}^c(x+y) \leq Max^i\{\widetilde{\mu}^c(x),\widetilde{\mu}^c(y)\} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mu}(xs_1ys_2z) &\geq Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}(x),\widetilde{\mu}(y),\widetilde{\mu}(z)\} \\ \Rightarrow &-\widetilde{\mu}(xs_1ys_2z) \leq -Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}(x),\widetilde{\mu}(y),\widetilde{\mu}(z)\} \\ \Rightarrow \widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\mu}(xs_1ys_2z) &\leq \widetilde{1} - Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}(x),\widetilde{\mu}(y),\widetilde{\mu}(z)\} \\ \Rightarrow \widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\mu}(xs_1ys_2z) &\leq Max^i\{\widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\mu}(x),\widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\mu}(y),\widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\mu}(z)\} \\ \Rightarrow \widetilde{\mu}^c(xs_1ys_2z) &\leq Max^i\{\widetilde{\mu}^c(x),\widetilde{\mu}^c(y),\widetilde{\mu}^c(z)\}. \end{split}$$

Thus $\widetilde{\mu}^c$ is an interval-valued anti fuzzy bi-ideal of S. By similar argument, we can prove the converse part.

Theorem 3.11. Every interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of S is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S.

Proof. Let $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ be an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of S. Then $\widetilde{\mu}(xs_1ys_2z) \geq Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}(x), \widetilde{\mu}(s_1ys_2), \widetilde{\mu}(z)\} \geq Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}(x), \widetilde{\mu}(y), \widetilde{\mu}(z)\}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}(xs_1ys_2z) \leq Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}(x), \widetilde{\nu}(s_1ys_2), \widetilde{\nu}(z)\} \leq Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}(x), \widetilde{\nu}(y), \widetilde{\nu}(z)\}$. Thus A is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S. \square

The converse of the above theorem is need not be true as given in Example 3.9.

Theorem 3.12. An IIFS $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ in S is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S if and only if any level set $\overline{S}_A^{(\widetilde{s},\widetilde{t})}$ is a bi-ideal of S for $\widetilde{s},\widetilde{t} \in D[0,1]$ whenever nonempty.

Proof. Let $A=(\widetilde{\mu}_A,\widetilde{\nu}_A)$ be an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S. Let $x,y,z\in\overline{S}_A^{(\widetilde{s},\widetilde{t})}$ and $u,v\in S$. Then $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x+y)\geq Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x),\widetilde{\mu}_A(y)\}\geq \widetilde{s}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A(x+y)\leq Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y)\}\leq \widetilde{t}$. So $x+y\in\overline{S}_A^{(\widetilde{s},\widetilde{t})}$. Again $\widetilde{\mu}_A(xuyvz)\geq Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x),\widetilde{\mu}_A(y),\widetilde{\mu}_A(z)\}\geq \widetilde{s}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A(xuyvz)\leq Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y),\widetilde{\nu}_A(z)\}\leq \widetilde{t}$ which implies $xuyvz\in\overline{S}_A^{(\widetilde{s},\widetilde{t})}$. Hence $\overline{S}_A^{(\widetilde{s},\widetilde{t})}$ is a bi-ideal. Conversely let $\overline{S}_A^{(\widetilde{s},\widetilde{t})}$ be a bi-ideal of S, for any $\widetilde{s},\widetilde{t}\in D[0,1]$ with $\widetilde{s}+\widetilde{t}\leq \widetilde{1}$. Let $x,y\in S$ such that $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x)=\widetilde{\alpha}_1,\widetilde{\mu}_A(y)=\widetilde{\alpha}_2$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A(x)=\widetilde{\beta}_1,\widetilde{\nu}_A(y)=\widetilde{\beta}_2$ where $\widetilde{\alpha}_1,\widetilde{\alpha}_2,\widetilde{\beta}_1,\widetilde{\beta}_2\in D[0,1]$. Then $\widetilde{\alpha}_1+\widetilde{\beta}_1\leq \widetilde{1},\widetilde{\alpha}_2+\widetilde{\beta}_2\leq \widetilde{1}$. Let $\widetilde{\alpha}=Min^i\{\widetilde{\alpha}_1,\widetilde{\alpha}_2\}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}=Max^i\{\widetilde{\beta}_1,\widetilde{\beta}_2\}$ then $x,y\in S_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha},\widetilde{\beta})}$. Since $S_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha},\widetilde{\beta})}$ be a bi-ideal of S then $x+y\in S_A^{(\widetilde{\alpha},\widetilde{\beta})}$ that means $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x+y)\geq \widetilde{\alpha}=Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x),\widetilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$, $\widetilde{\nu}_A(x+y)\leq \widetilde{\beta}=Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y)\}$. Similarly we prove $\widetilde{\mu}_A(xuyvz)\geq Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x),\widetilde{\mu}_A(y),\widetilde{\mu}_A(z)\}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A(xuyvz)\leq Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y),\widetilde{\nu}_A(z)\}$. Therefore A is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal.

Corollary 3.13. An IIFS $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ in S is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S if and only if for every $\widetilde{s}, \widetilde{t} \in D[0,1]$ such that $\widetilde{s} + \widetilde{t} \leq \widetilde{1}$ all non-empty $\overline{U}(\widetilde{\mu}_A; \widetilde{s})$ and $\overline{L}(\widetilde{\nu}_A; \widetilde{t})$ are bi-ideals of S.

Theorem 3.14. Let I be a non-empty subset of a ternary semiring S. Then an IIFS $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\mu}_A(x) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{s}_2, & \text{if } x \in I, \\ \widetilde{s}_1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{\nu}_A(x) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{t}_2, & \text{if } x \in I, \\ \widetilde{t}_1, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{0} \leq \widetilde{s}_1 < \widetilde{s}_2 \leq \widetilde{1}$, $\widetilde{0} \leq \widetilde{t}_2 < \widetilde{t}_1 \leq \widetilde{1}$ and $\widetilde{s}_i + \widetilde{t}_i \leq \widetilde{1}$ for each i = 1, 2 is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S if and only if I is a bi-ideal of S.

Proof. Let I be a bi-ideal of S. Let $x,y,z,u,v\in S$. If $x,y,z\in I$, then $x+y,xuyvz\in I$. Then $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x+y)=\widetilde{s}_2\geq Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x),\widetilde{\mu}_A(y)\},\ \widetilde{\nu}_A(x+y)=\widetilde{t}_2\leq Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y)\},\ \widetilde{\mu}_A(xuyvz)=\widetilde{s}_2\geq Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x),\widetilde{\mu}_A(y),\widetilde{\mu}_A(z)\}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A(xuyvz)=\widetilde{t}_2\leq Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y),\widetilde{\nu}_A(z)\}$. If either x or y or $z\notin I$, then also $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x+y)\geq \widetilde{s}_1=Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x),\widetilde{\mu}_A(y)\},\ \widetilde{\nu}_A(x+y)\leq \widetilde{t}_1=Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y)\},\ \widetilde{\mu}_A(xuyvz)\geq \widetilde{s}_1=Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x),\widetilde{\mu}_A(y),\widetilde{\mu}_A(z)\}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A(xuyvz)\leq \widetilde{t}_1=Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y),\widetilde{\nu}_A(z)\}$. Hence $A=(\widetilde{\mu}_A,\widetilde{\nu}_A)$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S.

Conversely, let $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S. Then $\overline{S}_A^{(\widetilde{s}_2, \widetilde{t}_2)} = I$. So, by Theorem 3.12, I must be a bi-ideal of S.

Theorem 3.15. Let $(\widetilde{\mu}_i, \widetilde{\nu}_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals of S then $(\cap \widetilde{\mu}_i, \cup \widetilde{\nu}_i)$ is also an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S.

 $\begin{aligned} & \textit{Proof. Let } \widetilde{\mu} = \bigcap_{i \in I} \widetilde{\mu}_i \text{ and } \widetilde{\nu} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \widetilde{\nu}_i. \text{ For any } x,y,z \in S, \\ & 1. \ \widetilde{\mu}(x+y) = \bigcap_{i \in I} \widetilde{\mu}_i(x+y) \geq \bigcap_{i \in I} Min^i \{\widetilde{\mu}_i(x),\widetilde{\mu}_i(y)\} \\ & = Min^i \{\bigcap_{i \in I} \widetilde{\mu}_i(x),\bigcap_{i \in I} \widetilde{\mu}_i(y)\} = Min^i \{\widetilde{\mu}(x),\widetilde{\mu}(y)\}. \\ & 2. \ \widetilde{\mu}(xs_1ys_2z) = \bigcap_{i \in I} \widetilde{\mu}_i(xs_1ys_2z) \geq \bigcap_{i \in I} Min^i \{\widetilde{\mu}_i(x),\widetilde{\mu}_i(y),\widetilde{\mu}_i(z)\} \\ & = Min^i \{\bigcap_{i \in I} \widetilde{\mu}_i(x),\bigcap_{i \in I} \widetilde{\mu}_i(y),\bigcap_{i \in I} \widetilde{\mu}_i(z)\} = Min^i \{\widetilde{\mu}(x),\widetilde{\mu}(y),\widetilde{\mu}(z)\}. \\ & 3. \ \widetilde{\nu}(x+y) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \widetilde{\nu}_i(x+y) \leq \bigcup_{i \in I} Max^i \{\widetilde{\nu}_i(x),\widetilde{\nu}_i(y)\} \\ & = Max^i \{\bigcup_{i \in I} \widetilde{\nu}_i(x),\bigcup_{i \in I} \widetilde{\nu}_i(y)\} = Max^i \{\widetilde{\nu}(x),\widetilde{\nu}(y)\}. \\ & 4. \ \widetilde{\nu}(xs_1ys_2z) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \widetilde{\nu}_i(xs_1ys_2z) \leq \bigcup_{i \in I} Max^i \{\widetilde{\nu}_i(x),\widetilde{\nu}_i(y),\widetilde{\nu}_i(z)\} \\ & = Max^i \{\bigcup_{i \in I} \widetilde{\nu}_i(x),\bigcup_{i \in I} \widetilde{\nu}_i(y),\bigcup_{i \in I} \widetilde{\nu}_i(z)\} = Max^i \{\widetilde{\nu}(x),\widetilde{\nu}(y),\widetilde{\nu}(z)\}. \\ & \text{Therefore } (\cap \widetilde{\mu}_i, \cup \widetilde{\nu}_i) \text{ is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of } S. \end{aligned}$

Theorem 3.16. An IIFS $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ in S is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S if and only if the interval-valued fuzzy subsets $\widetilde{\mu}_A$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A^c$ are interval-valued fuzzy bi-ideals of S.

Proof. If $A=(\widetilde{\mu}_A,\widetilde{\nu}_A)$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S, then clearly $\widetilde{\mu}_A$ is an interval-valued fuzzy bi-ideal of S. For all $x,y,z,s_1,s_2\in S$, $\widetilde{\nu}_A^c(x+y)=\widetilde{1}-\widetilde{\nu}_A(x+y)\geq \widetilde{1}-Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y)\}=Min^i\{\widetilde{1}-\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{1}-\widetilde{\nu}_A(y)\}=Min^i\{\widetilde{1}-\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y)\}=Min^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y)\}=Min^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y),\widetilde{\nu$

```
\begin{split} \widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\nu}_A(y) \} &= \widetilde{1} - Max^i \{ \widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(y) \} \text{ which implies } -\widetilde{\nu}_A(x+y) \geq -Max^i \{ \widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(y) \} \text{ implies } \\ \widetilde{\nu}_A(x+y) &\leq Max^i \{ \widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(y) \} \text{ and } \widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\nu}_A(xs_1ys_2z) = \widetilde{\nu}_A^c(xs_1ys_2z) \geq Min^i \{ \widetilde{\nu}_A^c(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A^c(y), \widetilde{\nu}_A^c(z) \} = \\ Min^i \{ \widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\nu}_A(y), \widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\nu}_A(z) \} &= \widetilde{1} - Max^i \{ \widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(y), \widetilde{\nu}_A(z) \} \text{ which implies } -\widetilde{\nu}_A(xs_1ys_2z) \geq \\ -Max^i \{ \widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(y), \widetilde{\nu}_A(z) \} \text{ implies } \widetilde{\nu}_A(xs_1ys_2z) \leq Max^i \{ \widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(y), \widetilde{\nu}_A(z) \}. \end{split}
```

Corollary 3.17. If an IIFS $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ in S is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S if and only if IIFS $A_1 = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\mu}_A^c)$ and IIFS $A_2 = (\widetilde{\nu}_A^c, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ are interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals of S.

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 3.16.

Definition 3.18. Let S_1 and S_2 be ternary semirings. A mapping $f: S_1 \to S_2$ is said to be a homomorphism if f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) and f(xyz) = f(x)f(y)f(z) for all $x, y, z \in S_1$.

Let $f: S_1 \to S_2$ be an onto homomorphism of ternary semirings. Note that if I is an ideal of S_1 , then f(I) is an ideal of S_2 . If S_1 and S_2 are ternary semirings with zero 0, then f(0) = 0.

Theorem 3.19. Let S_1, S_2 be ternary semirings and let $\Phi: S_1 \to S_2$ be an onto homomorphism and let $B = (\widetilde{\mu}_B, \widetilde{\nu}_B)$ be an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S_2 . Then $B = (\widetilde{\mu}_B, \widetilde{\nu}_B)$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S_2 if and only if $\Phi^{-1}(B) = (\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_B), \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_B))$, where $\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_B)(x) = \widetilde{\mu}_B(\Phi(x))$ and $\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_B)(x) = \widetilde{\nu}_B(\Phi(x))$, for all $x \in S_1$, is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S_1 .

Proof. Assume $B = (\widetilde{\mu}_B, \widetilde{\nu}_B)$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S_2 , and let $x, y, z, u, v \in S_1$. Then

```
1. \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_B)(x+y) = \widetilde{\mu}_B(\Phi(x+y)) = \widetilde{\mu}_B(\Phi(x) + \Phi(y))
\geq Min^{i}\{\widetilde{\mu}_{B}(\Phi(x)),\widetilde{\mu}_{B}(\Phi(y))\} = Min^{i}\{\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_{B})(x),\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_{B})(y)\}.
2. \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_B)(xuyvz) = \widetilde{\mu}_B(\Phi(xuyvz)) = \widetilde{\mu}_B(\Phi(x)\Phi(u)\Phi(y)\Phi(v)\Phi(z))
\geq Min^{i}\{\widetilde{\mu}_{B}(\Phi(x)), \widetilde{\mu}_{B}(\Phi(y)), \widetilde{\mu}_{B}(\Phi(z))\}
= Min^{i} \{ \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_{B})(x), \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_{B})(y), \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_{B})(z) \}.
3. \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_B)(x+y) = \widetilde{\nu}_B(\Phi(x+y)) = \widetilde{\nu}_B(\Phi(x) + \Phi(y))
\leq Max^{i}\{\widetilde{\nu}_{B}(\Phi(x)), \widetilde{\nu}_{B}(\Phi(y))\} = Max^{i}\{\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_{B})(x), \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_{B})(y)\}.
4. \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_B)(xuyvz) = \widetilde{\nu}_B(\Phi(xuyvz)) = \widetilde{\nu}_B(\Phi(x)\Phi(u)\Phi(y)\Phi(v)\Phi(z))
\leq Max^{i}\{\widetilde{\nu}_{B}(\Phi(x)), \widetilde{\nu}_{B}(\Phi(y)), \widetilde{\nu}_{B}(\Phi(z))\}
= Max^{i} \{ \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_{B})(x), \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_{B})(y), \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_{B})(z) \}.
Therefore \Phi^{-1}(B) = (\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_B), \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_B)) is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of S_1.
     Conversely, assume that \Phi^{-1}(B) = (\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_B), \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_B)) is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-
ideal of S_1. Let y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5 \in S_2 such that \Phi(x_1) = y_1, \Phi(x_2) = y_2, \Phi(x_3) = y_3, \Phi(x_4) = y_4, \Phi(x_5) = y_5
y_5 where x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in S_1.
1. \widetilde{\mu}_B(y_1 + y_2) = \widetilde{\mu}_B(\Phi(x_1) + \Phi(x_2)) = \widetilde{\mu}_B(\Phi(x_1 + x_2)) = \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_B)(x_1 + x_2)
\geq Min^{i}\{\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_{B})(x_{1}), \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_{B})(x_{2})\} = Min^{i}\{\widetilde{\mu}_{B}(\Phi(x_{1})), \widetilde{\mu}_{B}(\Phi(x_{2}))\}.
2. \widetilde{\mu}_B(y_1y_2y_3y_4y_5) = \widetilde{\mu}_B(\Phi(x_1)\Phi(x_2)\Phi(x_3)\Phi(x_4)\Phi(x_5))
= \widetilde{\mu}_B(\Phi(x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5)) = \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_B)(x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5)
\geq Min^{i}\{\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_{B})(x_{1}), \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_{B})(x_{3}), \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mu}_{B})(x_{5})\}
= Min^{i} \{ \widetilde{\mu}_{B}(\Phi(x_{1})), \widetilde{\mu}_{B}(\Phi(x_{3})), \widetilde{\mu}_{B}(\Phi(x_{5})) \}.
3. \widetilde{\nu}_B(y_1 + y_2) = \widetilde{\nu}_B(\Phi(x_1) + \Phi(x_2)) = \widetilde{\nu}_B(\Phi(x_1 + x_2)) = \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_B)(x_1 + x_2)
\leq Max^{i}\{\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_{B})(x_{1}), \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_{B})(x_{2})\} = Max^{i}\{\widetilde{\nu}_{B}(\Phi(x_{1})), \widetilde{\nu}_{B}(\Phi(x_{2}))\}.
4. \widetilde{\nu}_B(y_1y_2y_3y_4y_5)) = \widetilde{\nu}_B(\Phi(x_1)\Phi(x_2)\Phi(x_3)\Phi(x_4)\Phi(x_5))
= \widetilde{\nu}_B(\Phi(x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5)) = \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_B)(x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5)
\leq Max^{i}\{\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_{B})(x_{1}),\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_{B})(x_{3}),\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\nu}_{B})(x_{5})\}
= Max^i \{ \widetilde{\nu}_B(\Phi(x_1)), \widetilde{\nu}_B(\Phi(x_3)), \widetilde{\nu}_B(\Phi(x_5)) \}. Thus B = (\widetilde{\mu}_B, \widetilde{\nu}_B) is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy
```

bi-ideal of S_2 .

4. Normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right ideals

Definition 4.1. An interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right (left, lateral) ideal $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ of a ternary semiring S is said to be normal if A(0) = (1,0), that means $\widetilde{\mu}_A(0) = 1$, $\widetilde{\nu}_A(0) = 0$. Denote by NIIFRI(S) (NIIFLI(S), NIIFMI(S)) the set of all normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right (left, lateral) ideals of S. Note that NIIFRI(S) (NIIFLI(S), NIIFMI(S)) is a poset under set inclusion.

Example 4.2. Consider the ternary semiring $S = Z_0^-$, the set of all non positive integers with usual addition and ternary multiplication. Let the interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\mu}_A$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A$ of S be defined by

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{1}, & \text{if } x = 0 \\ [0.5, 0.6], & \text{if } x \in \langle -2 \rangle \setminus \{0\} \\ [0.2, 0.3], & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{\nu}_{A}(x) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{0}, & \text{if } x = 0 \\ [0.1, 0.2], & \text{if } x \in \langle -2 \rangle \setminus \{0\} \\ [0.4, 0.7], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ is a normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of S.

Theorem 4.3. Given an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right (left, lateral) ideal $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ of a ternary semiring S. Let $\widetilde{\mu}_A^+(x) = \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) + \widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\mu}_A(0)$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A^+(x) = \widetilde{\nu}_A(x) - \widetilde{\nu}_A(0)$, for all $x \in S$. Then $A^+ = (\widetilde{\mu}_A^+, \widetilde{\nu}_A^+)$ is a normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right (left, lateral) ideal containing $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ of S.

Proof. For any $x, y, z \in S$

- 1. $\widetilde{\mu}_{A}^{+}(x+y) = \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x+y) + \widetilde{1} \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(0) \ge Min^{i}\{\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y)\} + \widetilde{1} \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(0)$ = $Min^{i}\{\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x) + \widetilde{1} \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(0), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y) + \widetilde{1} \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(0)\} = Min^{i}\{\widetilde{\mu}_{A}^{+}(x), \widetilde{\mu}_{A}^{+}(y)\}.$
- 2. $\widetilde{\mu}_A^+(xyz) = \widetilde{\mu}_A(xyz) + \widetilde{1} \widetilde{\mu}_A(0) \ge \widetilde{\mu}_A(x) + \widetilde{1} \widetilde{\mu}_A(0) = \widetilde{\mu}_A^+(x)$.
- 3. $\widetilde{\nu}_A^+(x+y) = \widetilde{\nu}_A(x+y) \widetilde{\nu}_A(0) \le Max^i \{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(y)\} \widetilde{\nu}_A(0)$ = $Max^i \{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x) \widetilde{\nu}_A(0), \widetilde{\nu}_A(y) \widetilde{\nu}_A(0)\} = Max^i \{\widetilde{\nu}_A^+(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A^+(y)\}.$
- 4. $\widetilde{\nu}_A^+(xyz) = \widetilde{\nu}_A(xyz) \widetilde{\nu}_A(0) \le \widetilde{\nu}_A(x) \widetilde{\nu}_A(0) = \widetilde{\nu}_A^+(x)$.

Hence A^+ is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of S. Again we have $\widetilde{\mu}_A^+(0) = \widetilde{\mu}_A(0) + 1$ $\widetilde{\mu}_A(0) = \widetilde{1}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A^+(0) = \widetilde{\nu}_A(0) - \widetilde{\nu}_A(0) = \widetilde{0}$. Hence A^+ is a normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of S and by definition $A \subseteq A^+$. П

Corollary 4.4. Let A and A^+ be as in the Theorem 4.3. A is a normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of S if and only if $A^+ = A$.

Remark 4.5. If $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right (left, lateral) ideal of S, then $(A^+)^+ = A^+$. In particular, if A is normal, then $(A^+)^+ = A^+ = A$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ be an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right (left, lateral) ideal of a ternary semiring S and let $\Phi:D[0,1]\to D[0,1]$ be an increasing function. Then an IIFS $A_\Phi=$ $((\widetilde{\mu}_A)_{\Phi}, (\widetilde{\nu}_A)_{\Phi})$ where $(\widetilde{\mu}_A)_{\Phi}(x) = \Phi(\widetilde{\mu}_A(x))$ and $(\widetilde{\nu}_A)_{\Phi}(x) = \Phi(\widetilde{\nu}_A(x))$ for all $x \in S$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right (left, lateral) ideal of S. Moreover, if $\Phi(\widetilde{\mu}_A(0)) = 1$ and $\Phi(\widetilde{\nu}_A(0)) = 0$, then A_{Φ} is normal.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in S$.

- 1. $(\widetilde{\mu}_A)_{\Phi}(x+y) = \Phi(\widetilde{\mu}_A(x+y)) \ge \Phi(Min^i\{\widetilde{\mu}_A(x), \widetilde{\mu}_A(y)\})$
- $= Min^{i}\{\Phi(\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x)), \Phi(\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y))\} = Min^{i}\{(\widetilde{\mu}_{A})_{\Phi}(x), (\widetilde{\mu}_{A})_{\Phi}(y)\}$
- 2. $(\widetilde{\mu}_A)_{\Phi}(xyz) = \Phi(\widetilde{\mu}_A(xyz)) \ge \Phi(\widetilde{\mu}_A(x)) = (\widetilde{\mu}_A)_{\Phi}(x)$
- 3. $(\widetilde{\nu}_A)_{\Phi}(x+y) = \Phi(\widetilde{\nu}_A(x+y)) \le \Phi(Max^i\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x),\widetilde{\nu}_A(y)\})$
- $= Max^{i} \{ \Phi(\widetilde{\nu}_{A}(x)), \Phi(\widetilde{\nu}_{A}(y)) \} = Max^{i} \{ (\widetilde{\nu}_{A})_{\Phi}(x), (\widetilde{\nu}_{A})_{\Phi}(y) \}$

4. $(\widetilde{\nu}_A)_{\Phi}(xyz) = \Phi(\widetilde{\nu}_A(xyz)) \leq \Phi(\widetilde{\nu}_A(x)) = (\widetilde{\nu}_A)_{\Phi}(x)$.

Hence A_{Φ} is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of S. If $\Phi(\widetilde{\mu}_A(0)) = \widetilde{1}$, $\Phi(\widetilde{\nu}_A(0)) = \widetilde{0}$ then $(\widetilde{\mu}_A)_{\Phi}(0) = \widetilde{1}$ and $(\widetilde{\nu}_A)_{\Phi}(0) = \widetilde{0}$ and hence $A_{\Phi} = ((\widetilde{\mu}_A)_{\Phi}, (\widetilde{\nu}_A)_{\Phi})$ is a normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of S.

Definition 4.7. An interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideal $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ of a ternary semiring S is said to be an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy maximal if it satisfies:

- i) A is non-constant.
- ii) A^+ is a maximal element of NIIFI(S), where NIIFI(S) denotes the set of all normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of S.

Example 4.8. Consider the ternary semiring $S = Z_0^-$, the set of all non positive integers with the usual addition and ternary multiplication. Let the interval-valued fuzzy subset $\widetilde{\mu}_A$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A$ of S be defined by

$$\widetilde{\mu}_A(x) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{1}, & \text{if } x \in \langle -2 \rangle \\ \widetilde{0}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{\nu}_A(x) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{0}, & \text{if } x \in \langle -2 \rangle \\ \widetilde{1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A)$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy maximal ideal of S.

Theorem 4.9. Let $A = (\widetilde{\mu}_A, \widetilde{\nu}_A) \in NIIFRI(S)$ be non-constant such that it is maximal in the poset of NIIFRI(S) under set inclusion. Then both $\widetilde{\mu}_A$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_A$ takes only the values $(\widetilde{1}, \widetilde{0})$ and $(\widetilde{0}, \widetilde{1})$ respectively.

Proof. Since A is normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal, so $A(0) = (\widetilde{1}, \widetilde{0})$. Let $x_0 \neq 0 \in S$ be arbitrary with $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x_0) \neq \widetilde{1}$. We claim that $\widetilde{\mu}_A(x_0) = \widetilde{0}$. If not then there exists an element $c \in S$ such that $\widetilde{0} < \widetilde{\mu}_A(c) < \widetilde{1}$. Let $A_c = (\widetilde{\sigma}_A, \widetilde{\eta}_A)$ be an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subset of S defined by $\widetilde{\sigma}_A(x) = \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\mu}_A(x) + \widetilde{\mu}_A(c)]$, $\widetilde{\eta}_A(x) = \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\nu}_A(x) + \widetilde{\nu}_A(c)]$. Clearly A_c is well-defined. Now,

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_A(0) = \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\mu}_A(0) + \widetilde{\mu}_A(c)] \ge \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\mu}_A(x) + \widetilde{\mu}_A(c)] = \widetilde{\sigma}_A(x),$$

$$\widetilde{\eta}_A(0) = \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\nu}_A(0) + \widetilde{\nu}_A(c)] \le \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\nu}_A(x) + \widetilde{\nu}_A(c)] = \widetilde{\eta}_A(x),$$

for any $x \in S$. Again, for any $x, y, z \in S$,

- 1. $\widetilde{\sigma}_A(x+y) = \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\mu}_A(x+y) + \widetilde{\mu}_A(c)] \ge \frac{1}{2} [Min^i \{ \widetilde{\mu}_A(x), \widetilde{\mu}_A(y) \} + \widetilde{\mu}_A(c)]$
- $= Min^{i}\{\frac{1}{2}[\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(x) + \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(c)], \frac{1}{2}[\widetilde{\mu}_{A}(y) + \widetilde{\mu}_{A}(c)]\} = Min^{i}\{\widetilde{\sigma}_{A}(x), \widetilde{\sigma}_{A}(y)\}.$
- 2. $\widetilde{\sigma}_A(xyz) = \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\mu}_A(xyz) + \widetilde{\mu}_A(c)] \ge \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\mu}_A(x) + \widetilde{\mu}_A(c)] = \widetilde{\sigma}_A(x).$
- 3. $\widetilde{\eta}_A(x+y) = \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\nu}_A(x+y) + \widetilde{\nu}_A(c)] \le \frac{1}{2} [Max^i {\{\widetilde{\nu}_A(x), \widetilde{\nu}_A(y)\}} + \widetilde{\nu}_A(c)]$
- $= Max^{i} \{ \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\nu}_{A}(x) + \widetilde{\nu}_{A}(c)], \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\nu}_{A}(y) + \widetilde{\nu}_{A}(c)] \} = Max^{i} \{ \widetilde{\eta}_{A}(x), \widetilde{\eta}_{A}(y) \}.$
- 4. $\widetilde{\eta}_A(xyz) = \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\nu}_A(xyz) + \widetilde{\nu}_A(c)] \le \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\nu}_A(x) + \widetilde{\nu}_A(c)] = \widetilde{\eta}_A(x).$

Hence A_c is an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of S. Define $A_c^+ = (\tilde{\sigma}_A^+, \tilde{\eta}_A^+)$. Then by Theorem 4.3, A_c^+ is a normal interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of S, where

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_A^+(x) = \widetilde{\sigma}_A(x) + \widetilde{1} - \widetilde{\sigma}_A(0) = \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\mu}_A(x) + \widetilde{\mu}_A(c)] + \widetilde{1} - \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\mu}_A(0) + \widetilde{\mu}_A(c)] = \frac{1}{2} [1 + \widetilde{\mu}_A(x)]$$

and

$$\widetilde{\eta}_A^+(x) = \widetilde{\eta}_A(x) - \widetilde{\eta}_A(0) = \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\nu}_A(x) + \widetilde{\nu}_A(c)] - \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\nu}_A(0) + \widetilde{\nu}_A(c)] = \frac{1}{2} [\widetilde{\nu}_A(x)].$$

Clearly $A \subseteq A_c^+$. Since $\widetilde{\sigma}_A^+(x) = \frac{1}{2}[1 + \widetilde{\mu}_A(x)] > \widetilde{\mu}_A(x)$ and $\widetilde{\eta}_A^+(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\widetilde{\nu}_A(x)] \leq \widetilde{\nu}_A(x)$, A is a proper subset of A_c^+ . Again since $\widetilde{\sigma}_A^+(c) = \frac{1}{2}[1 + \widetilde{\mu}_A(c)] < \widetilde{1} = \widetilde{\sigma}_A^+(0)$. Hence A_c^+ is non-constant and A is not a maximal element of NIIFRI(S). This is a contradiction. Therefore $\widetilde{\mu}_A$ takes only two values $\widetilde{1}$ and $\widetilde{0}$. Hence $\widetilde{\nu}_A$ takes the values $\widetilde{0}$ and $\widetilde{1}$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1986), 87-96.
- [2] K. T. Atanassov, G. Gargov, Interval-Valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 31(3)(1989), 343-349.
- [3] T. K. Dutta, S. Kar, On regular ternary semirings, Advances in Algebra, Proceedings of the ICM Satellite Conference in Algebra and Related Topics, World Scientific, (2003), 343-355.
- [4] T. K. Dutta, S. Kar, On the Jacobson radical of a ternary semiring, SEA Bull. Math., 28 (1)(2004), 1-13.
- [5] T. K. Dutta, S. Kar, A note on the Jacobson radicals of ternary semirings, SEA Bull. Math., 29 (2) (2005), 321-331.
- [6] T. K. Dutta, S. Kar, Two types of Jacobson radicals of ternary semirings, SEA Bull. Math., 29(4)(2005), 677-687.
- [7] T. K. Dutta, S. Kar, On prime ideals and prime radical of ternary semirings, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 97(5)(2005), 445-454.
- [8] T. K. Dutta, S. Kar, On semiprime ideals and irreducible ideals of ternary semirings, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, **97(5)**(2005), 467-476.
- [9] T. K. Dutta, S. Kar, A note on regular ternary semirings, Kyungpook Math. J., 46(3) (2006), 357-365.
- [10] T. K. Dutta, S. Kar and S. Purkait, On interval-valued fuzzy prime ideals of a semiring, *European journal of Mathematical sciences*, **1(1)** (2012), 1-16.
- [11] I. Grattan Guiness, Fuzzy membership mapped onto interval and manu-valued quantities, Z. Math. Logik. Grundladen Math., 32(3)(2009), 409-424.
- [12] S. Kar, On quasi-ideals and bi-ideals in ternary semirings, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2005(18)(2005), 3015-3023.
- [13] S. Kar, K. P. Shum and P. Sarkar, Interval-valued Prime fuzzy ideals of semigroups, Lobachevskii J. Math., 34(1)(2013), 11-19.
- [14] J. Kavikumar, A. B. Khamis, Fuzzy ideals and fuzzy quasi-ideals in ternary semirings, IAENG Int. J. Appl. Math., 37(2) (2007), 102-106.
- [15] J. Kavikumar, A. B. Khamis, Y. B. Jun, Fuzzy bi-ideals in ternary semirings, *Int. J. Comp. Math. Sci.*, **3**(4)(2009), 164-168.
- [16] D. Krishnaswamy, T. Anitha, Fuzzy prime ideals in ternary semiring, Annals of fuzzy mathematics and informatics, 7(3)(2014), 755-763.
- [17] D. Krishnaswamy, T. Anitha, (λ, μ) -fuzzy quasi ideals and (λ, μ) -fuzzy bi-ideals in ternary semirings, *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, **4(1)** (2013), 171-177.
- [18] D. H. Lehmer, A ternary analogue of abelian groups, Amer. J. Math., 59(1932), 329-338.
- [19] W. G. Lister, Ternary rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 154 (1971), 37-55.
- [20] R. Sambuc, Fonctions ϕ -flowes, Ph.D thesis, Univ. Marseille, France, (1975).
- [21] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control., 8(1965), 338-353.
- [22] L. A. Zadeh, The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning, *Inform. and Control.*, **8**(1975), 199-249.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY, ANNAMALAI NAGAR, TAMILNADU, INDIA.

E-mail address: krishna_swamy2004@yahoo.co.in

MATHEMATICS WING, D.D.E, ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY, ANNAMALAI NAGAR, TAMILNADU, INDIA. *E-mail address*: joe.jayaraj@gmail.com

MATHEMATICS WING, D.D.E , ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY, ANNAMALAI NAGAR, TAMILNADU, INDIA. *E-mail address*: anitha81t@gmail.com