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#### Abstract

In this work, we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillation of a class of second-order delay differential equations of the form: $$
\left(r(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) H(x(\sigma(t)))=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$ under the assumptions $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{r(t)}=\infty$, when $H$ is sublinear and superlinear. Finally, some illustrating examples are presented to show that feasibility and effectiveness of main results.
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## 1. Introduction

Consider the nonlinear delay differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) H(x(\sigma(t)))=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r, q, \sigma \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that $\sigma(t) \leq t$ with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)=\infty$ and $H \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is nondecreasing and satisfying the property $u H(u)>0$ for $u \neq 0$. The objective of this work is to establish the necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillation of solutions of (1.1) under the assumption
$\left(A_{1}\right) R(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d s}{r(s)} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
The motivation of the present work has come from the work of [6]. In [6], Liu et al. have considered the the existence of oscillatory solutions of forced nonlinear delay differential equations of the form

$$
\left[r(t) \Phi\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}\left(t, x\left(g_{i}(t)\right)\right)=q(t)
$$

and established a new sufficient condition for global existence of oscillatory solution by the SchauderTychonoff theorem. In this direction, we refer some related works ([1],[2], [4]-[7], [13]) to the readers and the references cited therein.

The delay differential equations find numerous applications in natural sciences and technology. Equations involving delay, and those involving advance and a combination of both arise in the models on lossless transmission lines in high speed computers which are used to interconnect switching circuits. The construction of these models using delays is complemented by the mathematical investigation of nonlinear equations. Moreover, the delay differential equations play an important role in modeling virtually every physical, technical, or biological process.

Definition 1.1. By a solution of (1.1) we understand a function $x \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $x(t)$ and $r(t) x^{\prime}(t)$ are once continuously differentiable and equation (1.1)) is satisfied for $t \geq 0$, where $\sup \{|x(t)|$ : $\left.t \geq t_{0}\right\}>0$ for every $t_{0} \geq 0$. A solution of (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory.

## 2. Main Results

This section deals with the necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions of (1.1). We need the following conditions for this work in the sequel.
$\left(A_{2}\right) H(u v)=H(u) H(v), u, v \in \mathbb{R}$.
Remark 2.1. [8] Assumption $\left(A_{2}\right)$ implies that $H(-u)=-H(u)$. Indeed, $H(1) H(1)=H(1)$ and $H(1)>0$ imply that $H(1)=1$. Further, $H(-1) H(-1)=H(1)=1$ implies that $(H(-1))^{2}=1$. Since $H(-1)<0$, we conclude that $H(-1)=-1$. Hence,

$$
H(-u)=H(-1) H(-u)=-H(-u) .
$$

On the other hand, $H(u v)=H(u) H(v)$ for $u>0$ and $v>0$ and $H(-u)=-H(u)$ imply that $H(x y)=$ $H(x) H(y)$ for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.
Remark 2.2. [8] We may note that if $x(t)$ is a solution of (1.1), then $y(t)=-x(t)$ is also a solution of (1.1) provided that $H$ satisfies $\left(A_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 2.3. Assume that $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}\right)$ hold. Furthermore assume that
$\left(A_{3}\right) H$ is sublinear, that is, $\frac{H(u)}{u^{\beta}} \geq \frac{H(v)}{v^{\beta}}, 0<u \leq v, \beta<1$
hold. Then every solution of the equation (1.1) oscillates if and only if
$\left(A_{4}\right) \int_{T}^{\infty} q(t) H(C R(\sigma(t))) d t=+\infty, T>0$ for every $C>0$.
Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1). So there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $x(t)>0$ or $<0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$. Without loss of generality and because of $\left(A_{2}\right)$, we may assume that $x(t)>0$ and $x(\sigma(t))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}>t_{0}$. From (1.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=-q(t) H(x(\sigma(t)))<0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for $t \geq t_{1}$. Hence there exists $t_{2}>t_{1}$ such that $r(t) x^{\prime}(t)$ is nonincreasing on $\left[t_{2}, \infty\right)$. We claim that $r(t) x^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[t_{2}, \infty\right)$. If $r(t) x^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_{3}$ then we can find $K>0$ such that $r(t) x^{\prime}(t) \leq-K$ for $t \geq t_{3}$. Integrating the relation $x^{\prime}(t) \leq-\frac{K}{r(t)}, t \geq t_{3}$ from $t_{3}$ to $t\left(>t_{3}\right)$ and obtain $x(t)-x\left(t_{3}\right) \leq-K \int_{t_{3}}^{t} \frac{d s}{r(s)}$, that is, $x(t) \leq x\left(t_{3}\right)-K\left[\int_{t_{3}}^{t} \frac{d s}{r(s)}\right] \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction to the fact that $x(t)$ is a positive solution of the equation of (1.1). So our claim holds. We integrate (1.1) from $t\left(\geq t_{3}\right)$ to $+\infty$, we get

$$
\left[r(s) x^{\prime}(s)\right]_{t}^{\infty}+\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) H(x(\sigma(s))) d s=0
$$

Since, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t) x^{\prime}(t)$ exists, then the above inequality becomes

$$
\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) H(x(\sigma(s))) d s \leq r(t) x^{\prime}(t)
$$

for $t \geq t_{3}$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{1}{r(t)}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) H(x(\sigma(s))) d s\right] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{3}$. Let $t_{4}>t_{3}$ be such a point that

$$
R(t)-R\left(t_{4}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} R(t) \text { for } t \geq t_{4}
$$

Integrating (2.2) from $t_{4}$ to $t\left(>t_{4}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)-x\left(t_{4}\right) & \geq \int_{t_{4}}^{t} \frac{1}{r(s)}\left[\int_{s}^{\infty} q(u) H(x(\sigma(u))) d u\right] d s \\
& \geq \int_{t_{4}}^{t} \frac{1}{r(s)}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} q(u) H(x(\sigma(u))) d u\right] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t) & \geq \int_{t_{4}}^{t} \frac{1}{r(s)}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} q(u) H(x(\sigma(u))) d u\right] d s \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} R(t)\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} q(u) H(x(\sigma(u))) d u\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{4}$. Since, $r(t) x^{\prime}(t)$ is nonincreasing on $\left[t_{4}, \infty\right)$, then there exists a constant $C>0$ and $t_{5} \geq t_{4}$ such that $r(t) x^{\prime}(t) \leq C$ for $t \geq t_{5}$ and hence $x(t) \leq C R(t), t \geq t_{5}$. Using the fact $H$ is sublinear, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x(\sigma(t))) & =\frac{H(x(\sigma(t)))}{x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))} x^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) \\
& \geq \frac{H(C R(\sigma(t)))}{C^{\beta} R^{\beta}(\sigma(t))} x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence (2.3) reduces to

$$
x(t) \geq \frac{R(t)}{2 C^{\beta}}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} q(u) H(C R(\sigma(u))) \frac{x^{\beta}(\sigma(u))}{R^{\beta}(\sigma(u))} d u\right]
$$

for $t \geq t_{5}$. If we define

$$
w(t)=\frac{1}{2 C^{\beta}}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} q(u) H(C R(\sigma(u))) \frac{x^{\beta}(\sigma(u))}{R^{\beta}(\sigma(u))} d u\right]
$$

then $x(t) \geq R(t) w(t)$ for $t \geq t_{5}$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime}(t) & \leq-\frac{1}{2 C^{\beta}} q(t) H(C R(\sigma(t))) \frac{x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))}{R^{\beta}(\sigma(t))} \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{2 C^{\beta}} q(t) H(C R(\sigma(t))) w^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

implies that $w(t)$ is nonincreasing on $\left[t_{5}, \infty\right)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t)$ exists. It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[w^{1-\beta}(t)\right]^{\prime} } & \leq-\frac{1}{2 C^{\beta}}(1-\beta) q(t) H(C R(\sigma(t))) w^{-\beta}(t) w^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{2 C^{\beta}}(1-\beta) q(t) H(C R(\sigma(t)))
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the last inequality from $t_{5}$ to $t\left(>t_{5}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\left[w^{1-\beta}(s)\right]_{t_{5}}^{t} \leq-\frac{1}{2}(1-\beta) C^{-\beta} \int_{t_{5}}^{t} q(s) H(C R(\sigma(s))) d s
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(1-\beta) C^{-\beta} \int_{t_{5}}^{t} q(s) H(C R(\sigma(s))) d s & \leq-\left[w^{1-\beta}(s)\right]_{t_{5}}^{t} \\
& <\infty, \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction to $\left(A_{4}\right)$.

Next, for the necessary part we suppose that $\left(A_{4}\right)$ doesn't hold. So, for $C>0$, let

$$
\int_{T}^{\infty} q(t) H(C R(\sigma(t))) d t<\frac{C}{2}
$$

Let's consider

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=\left\{x: x \in C\left(\left[t_{0},+\infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), x(t)=0 \text { for } t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right]\right. \text { and } \\
\left.\frac{C}{2}[R(t)-R(T)] \leq x(t) \leq C[R(t)-R(T)]\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and define $\Phi: M \rightarrow C\left(\left[t_{0},+\infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that

$$
(\Phi x)(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right) \\
\int_{T}^{t} \frac{1}{r(u)}\left[\frac{C}{2}+\int_{u}^{\infty} q(s) H(x(\sigma(s))) d s\right] d u \quad t \geq T
\end{array}\right.
$$

For every $x \in M$,

$$
(\Phi x)(t) \geq \frac{C}{2} \int_{T}^{t} \frac{d u}{r(u)}=\frac{C}{2}[R(t)-R(T)]
$$

and the inequality $x(t) \leq C R(t)$ implies that

$$
(\Phi x)(t) \leq C \int_{T}^{t} \frac{d u}{r(u)}=C[R(t)-R(T)]
$$

Thus, $(\Phi x)(t) \in M$. Let us define now the function $u_{n}:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the recursive formula

$$
u_{n}(t)=\left(\Phi u_{n-1}\right)(t), \quad n \geq 1
$$

with the initial condition

$$
u_{0}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right) \\
\frac{C}{2}[R(t)-R(T)], \quad t \geq T
\end{array}\right.
$$

Inductively it is easily verified that

$$
\frac{C}{2}[R(t)-R(T)] \leq u_{n-1}(t) \leq u_{n}(t) \leq C[R(t)-R(T)]
$$

for $t \geq T$. Therefore for $t \geq t_{0}, \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{n}(t)$ exists. Let $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{n}(t)=u(t)$ for $t \geq t_{0}$. By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem $u \in M$ and $(\Phi u)(t)=u(t)$, where $u(t)$ is a solution of the equation (1.1) on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that $u(t)>0$. Hence, $\left(A_{4}\right)$ is a necessary condition. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Assume that $\left(A_{1}\right)$, $\left(A_{2}\right)$ hold and $r(t) \geq r(\sigma(t))$. Furthermore assume that
$\left(A_{5}\right) H$ is superlinear, that is, $\frac{H(u)}{u^{\beta}} \geq \frac{H(v)}{v^{\beta}}, u \geq v>0, \beta>1$.
Then every solution of the equation (1.1) is oscillatory if and only if $\left(A_{6}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(t)}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) d s\right] d t=+\infty$.

Proof. For sufficient part, we use the same type of argument as in the proof of the Theorem 2.3 for the case $r(t) x^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$. Let's consider the case $r(t) x^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{3}$. So there exists a constant $C>0$ and $t_{4}>t_{3}$ such that $x(\sigma(t)) \geq C$ for $t \geq t_{4}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x(\sigma(t))) & =\frac{H(x(\sigma(t)))}{x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))} x^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) \\
& \geq \frac{H(C)}{C^{\beta}} x^{\beta}(\sigma(t)), t \geq t_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (2.2) becomes

$$
r(t) x^{\prime}(t) \geq \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) \frac{H(C)}{C^{\beta}} x^{\beta}(\sigma(s)) d s
$$

that is,

$$
r(\sigma(t)) x^{\prime}(\sigma(t)) \geq\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) x^{\beta}(\sigma(s)) d s\right] \frac{H(C)}{C^{\beta}}
$$

implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}(\sigma(t)) & \geq \frac{H(C)}{C^{\beta} r(\sigma(t))}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) d s\right] x^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) \\
& \geq \frac{H(C)}{C^{\beta} r(t)}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) d s\right] x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the last inequality from $t_{4}$ to $+\infty$, we get

$$
\frac{H(C)}{C^{\beta}} \int_{t_{4}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(t)}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) d s\right] d t \leq \int_{t_{4}}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\prime}(\sigma(t))}{x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))}<+\infty
$$

which is a contradiction to $\left(A_{6}\right)$.
Next, we show that $\left(A_{6}\right)$ is necessary. Assume that $\left(A_{6}\right)$ fails to hold and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(C) \int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(t)}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) d s\right] d t \leq \frac{C}{2}, \quad T \geq \sigma \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\left\{x: x \in C\left(\left[t_{0},+\infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), x(t)=\frac{C}{2} \text { for } t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right)\right. \text { and } \\
&\left.\frac{C}{2} \leq x(t) \leq C, t \geq T\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $\Phi: M \rightarrow C\left(\left[t_{0},+\infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ be defined by

$$
(\Phi x)(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{C}{2}, \quad t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right) \\
\frac{C}{2}+\int_{T}^{t} \frac{1}{r(s)}\left[\int_{s}^{\infty} q(u) H(x(\sigma(u))) d u\right] d u, \quad t \geq T
\end{array}\right.
$$

For every $x \in M,(\Phi x)(t) \geq \frac{C}{2}$. Using definition of the set $M$, definition of the mapping $\Phi$ and (2.4), we obtained $(\Phi x)(t) \leq C$. Therefore, $(\Phi x) \in M$. Analogously to the proof of the Theorem 2.3 we get that the mapping $\Phi$ has a fixed point $u \in M$, that is, $u(t)=(\Phi u)(t), t \geq t_{0}$. It can be easily verified that $u(t)$ is a solution of (1.1), such that $\frac{C}{2} \leq u(t) \leq C$ for $t \geq T$, that is, $u(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

We conclude this section with the following examples to illustrate our main results:
Example 2.5. Consider the delay differential equations

$$
\left(E_{1}\right) \quad\left(e^{-t} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+e^{t} x((t-2))^{\frac{1}{3}}=0
$$

where $r(t)=e^{-t}, q(t)=e^{t}, \sigma(t)=t-2$ and $H(x)=x^{\frac{1}{3}}$. If we choose $\beta=\frac{1}{2}<1$, then all the assumptions of the Theorem 2.3 holds. Hence by Theorem 2.3, every solution of $\left(E_{1}\right)$ oscillates.
Example 2.6. Consider the delay differential equations

$$
\left(E_{2}\right) \quad\left(e^{-3 t} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+e^{-2 t} x((t-1))^{3}=0
$$

where $r(t)=e^{-3 t}, q(t)=e^{-2 t}, \sigma(t)=t-1$ and $H(x)=x^{3}$. If we choose $\beta=2>1$, then all the assumptions of the Theorem 2.4 holds. Hence by Theorem 2.4, every solution of $\left(E_{2}\right)$ oscillates.
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