# A ZETA-BARNES FUNCTION ASSOCIATED TO GRADED MODULES

## MIRCEA CIMPOEAŞ

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field and let  $S = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} S_n$  be a positively graded K-algebra. Given  $M = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} M_n$ , a finitely generated graded S-module, and w > 0, we introduce the function  $\zeta_M(z, w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H(M,n)}{(n+w)^z}$ , where  $H(M,n) := \dim_K M_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , is the Hilbert function of M, and we study the relations between the algebraic properties of Mand the analytic properties of  $\zeta_M(z, w)$ . In particular, in the standard graded case, we prove that the multiplicity of M is  $e(M) = (m-1)! \lim_{w \to 0} \operatorname{Res}_{z=m} \zeta_M(z, w)$ .

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 13D40, 11M41, 11P81 Key words: Graded modules, quasi-polynomials, Zeta-Barnes function

Article history: Received 11 April 2018 Accepted 9 April 2019

#### INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field and let S be a positively graded K-algebra. Let M be a finitely generated S-module of dimension  $m \ge 0$ . Given a real number w > 0, we consider the zeta-Barnes type (see [3]) function

$$\zeta_M(z,w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H(M,n)}{(n+w)^z},$$

where  $H(M, n) := \dim_K M_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , is the *Hilbert function* of M. According to a Theorem of Serre, see for instance [5, Theorem 4.4.3], there exists a positive integer D such that

$$H(M,n) = d_{M,m-1}(n)n^m + \dots + d_{M,1}(n)n + d_{M,0}(n), \ (\forall)n \gg 0,$$

where  $d_{M,j}(n+D) = d_{M,j}(n), \ (\forall)n \ge 0$ . In Theorem 1.1 we show that

$$\zeta_M(z,w) = \theta_M(z,w) + D^{-z} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{D-1} d_{M,k}(j+\alpha(M)) \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} (-w)^\ell D^{k-\ell} \zeta(z-k+\ell, \frac{j+\alpha(M)+w}{D}),$$

where  $\alpha(M) := \min\{n_0 : H(M,n) = q_M(n), (\forall)n \ge n_0\}, \ \theta_M(z,w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha(M)-1} \frac{H(M,n)}{(n+w)^z} \text{ and } \zeta(z,w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+w)^z}$  is the *Hurwitz-zeta* function. Consequently,  $\zeta_M(z,w)$  is a meromorphic function on the complex plane with the poles in the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$  which are simple with residues

$$Res_{z=k+1}\zeta_M(z,w) = \frac{1}{D}\sum_{\ell=k}^{m-1} \binom{\ell}{k} (-w)^{\ell-k} \sum_{j=0}^{D-1} d_{M,k}(j), \ 0 \le k \le m-1.$$

Other properties of  $\zeta_M(z, w)$  are given in Proposition 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.3, 1.4.

We also consider the function  $\zeta_M(z) := \lim_{w \searrow 0} (\zeta_M(z, w) - H(M, 0)w^{-z})$ . In Proposition 1.5 we compute  $\zeta_M(z)$  and its residues. In Proposition 1.6 we prove that S is Gorenstein if and only if  $\zeta_{\omega_S}(z, w) = \zeta_S(z, w - a(S))$ , where S is Cohen-Macaulay with the canonical module  $\omega_S$ .

In the second section, we apply the results obtained in the first section in the case when  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$  is the ring of polynomials with  $\deg(x_i) = a_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le r$ . Given a graded S-module M, we compute the residues of  $\zeta_M(z, w)$  and  $\zeta_M(z)$  in terms of the graded Betti numbers of M and the Bernoulli-Barnes polynomial associated to  $(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ , see Corollary 2.2.

In the third section, we consider the standard graded case and we prove that the multiplicity of M, is

$$e(M) = (m-1)! \lim_{w \searrow 0} \operatorname{Res}_{z=m} \zeta_M(z, w),$$

see Corollary 3.3. In the fourth section, we outline the non-graded case and we give a formula for the multiplicity of the module with respect to an ideal, see Proposition 4.1.

#### 1. Graded modules over positively graded K-algebras

Let K be a field and let S be a positively graded K-algebra, that is

$$S := \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} S_n, S_0 = K,$$

and S is finitely generated over K. Assume  $S = K[u_1, \ldots, u_r]$ , where  $u_i \in S$  are homogeneous elements of  $deg(u_i) = a_i$ . Let

$$M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$$

be a finitely generated graded S-module with the Krull dimension  $m := \dim(M)$ . The Hilbert function of M is

$$H(M,-): \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, \ H(M,n) := \dim_K(M_n), \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The Hilbert series of M is

$$H_M(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(M, n) t^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]].$$

According to the Hibert-Serre's Theorem [1, Theorem 11.1] and [5, Exercise 4.4.11]

$$H_M(t) = \frac{h_M(t)}{(1 - t^{a_1}) \cdots (1 - t^{a_r})}$$

where  $h_M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ . According to Serre's Theorem [5, Theorem 4.4.3] and [5, Exercise 4.4.11] there exists a quasi-polynomial  $q_M(n)$  of degree m-1 with the period  $D := \operatorname{lcm}(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$  such that

(1.1) 
$$H(M,n) = q_M(n) = d_{M,m-1}(n)n^{m-1} + \dots + d_{M,1}(n)n + d_{M,0}(n), \ (\forall)n \gg 0,$$

where  $d_{M,k}(n+D) = d_{M,k}(n)$  for any  $n \ge 0$  and  $0 \le k \le m-1$ . We denote

(1.2) 
$$\alpha(M) := \min\{n_0 : H(M, n) = q_M(n), \ (\forall) n \ge n_0\}.$$

Let w > 0 be a real number. We denote

(1.3) 
$$\zeta_M(z,w) := \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{H(M,n)}{(n+w)^z}, \ z \in \mathbb{C},$$

and we call it the Zeta-Barnes type function associated to M and w. We also denote

(1.4) 
$$\theta_M(z,w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha(M)-1} \frac{H(M,n)}{(n+w)^z}, \ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The function  $\theta_M(z, w)$  is entire. Moreover, M is Artinian if and only if  $\zeta_M(z, w) = \theta_M(z, w)$ . Also,  $\alpha(M) = 0$  if and only if  $\theta_M(z, w) = 0$ .

Theorem 1.1. We have that

$$\zeta_M(z,w) = \theta_M(z,w) + D^{-z} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{D-1} d_{M,k}(j + \alpha(M)) \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} (-w)^\ell D^{k-\ell} \zeta(z-k+\ell, \frac{j+\alpha(M)+w}{D}),$$

where  $\zeta(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+w)^z}$  is the Hurwitz-zeta function.

Moreover,  $\zeta_M(z, w)$  is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  with the poles in the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$  which are simple with residues

$$R_M(w,k+1) := Res_{z=k+1}\zeta_M(z,w) = \frac{1}{D}\sum_{\ell=k}^{m-1} \binom{\ell}{k} (-w)^{\ell-k} \sum_{j=0}^{D-1} d_{M,k}(j), \ 0 \le k \le m-1.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows the line of the proof of [6, Proposition 3.2]. According to (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4), we have

(1.5) 
$$\zeta_M(z,w) = \theta_M(z,w) + \sum_{n=\alpha(M)}^{\infty} \frac{q_M(n)}{(n+w)^z} = \theta_M(z,w) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n=\alpha(M)}^{\infty} \frac{d_{M,k}(n)n^k}{(n+w)^z}.$$

For any  $0 \le k \le m - 1$ , we write

(1.6) 
$$n^{k} = (n+w-w)^{k} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{k}{\ell} (n+w)^{k-\ell} w^{\ell}.$$

By (1.5) and (1.6) and the fact that  $d_{M,k}(n+D) = d_{M,k}(n)$ ,  $(\forall)n, k$ , it follows that

$$\zeta_M(z,w) = \theta_M(z,w) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n=\alpha(M)}^{\infty} d_{M,k}(n) \sum_{\ell=0}^k (-1)^\ell \binom{k}{\ell} w^\ell \frac{1}{(n+w)^{z-k+\ell}} = \theta_M(z,w) + \frac{m-1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{k}{(n+w)^{z-k+\ell}} = \theta_M(z,w) + \frac{m-1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{k}{(n+w)^{z-k$$

(1.7) 
$$+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\sum_{j=0}^{D-1} d_{M,k}(j+\alpha(M)) \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{k}{\ell} w^{\ell} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(j+tD+\alpha(M)+w)^{z-k+\ell}}.$$

On the other hand, (1 8)

$$\sum_{t=0}^{(1,0)} \frac{1}{(j+tD+\alpha(M)+w)^{z-k+\ell}} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^{-z+k-\ell}}{(t+\frac{j+\alpha(M)+w}{D})^{z-k+\ell}} = D^{-z+k-\ell}\zeta(z-k+\ell,\frac{j+\alpha(M)+w}{D}).$$

Replacing (1.8) in (1.7) we get the required result.

The last assertion is a consequence of the fact that the Hurwitz-zeta function  $\zeta(z-k,w)$  is a meromorphic function and has a simple pole at k+1 with the residue 1 and, also,  $\theta_M(z,w)$  is an entire function.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $0 \to U \to M \to N \to 0$  be a graded short exact sequence of S-modules. Then

$$\zeta_M(z,w) = \zeta_U(z,w) + \zeta_N(z,w).$$

Proof. It follows from  $H(M, n) = H(U, n) + H(N, n), n \ge 0$ , and (1.3).

**Proposition 1.2.** For any  $k \ge 0$ , it holds that  $\zeta_{M(-k)}(z, w) = \zeta_M(z, w+k)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $M(-k)_n = M_{n-k}$ , it follows that H(M(-k), n) = 0 for all  $0 \le n < k$  and H(M(-k), n) = H(M, n-k), for all  $n \ge k$ . Consequently, by (1.3), we get

$$\zeta_{M(-k)}(z,w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H(M(-k),n)}{(n+w)^z} = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{H(M,n-k)}{(n+w)^z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H(M,n)}{(n+k+w)^z} = \zeta_M(z,w+k).$$

**Corollary 1.3.** If  $f \in S_k$  is regular on M, then

$$\zeta_{\frac{M}{fM}}(z,w) = \zeta_M(z,w) - \zeta_M(z,w+k)$$

*Proof.* We consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to M(-k) \xrightarrow{:f} M \to \frac{M}{fM} \to 0.$$

The conclusion follows from Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 1.2.

**Corollary 1.4.** If  $f_1, \ldots, f_p \in S$  is a regular sequence on M, consisting of homogeneous elements with  $\deg(f_i) = k_i$ , then

$$\zeta_{\frac{M}{(f_1,\dots,f_p)M}}(z) = \zeta_M(z,w) + \sum_{\ell=1}^p (-1)^\ell \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_\ell \le p} \zeta_M(z,w+k_{i_1}+\dots+k_{i_\ell}).$$

*Proof.* It follows from Corollary 1.3, using induction on  $k \ge 1$ .

Let

(1.9) 
$$\zeta_M(z) := \lim_{w \searrow 0} (\zeta_M(z, w) - H(M, 0) w^{-z}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H(M, n)}{n^z}.$$

Note that  $\zeta_M(z)$  codify all the information about the Hilbert function of M with the exception of H(M, 0). Let

(1.10) 
$$\theta_M(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\alpha(M)-1} \frac{H(M,n)}{n^z}.$$

Note that  $\theta_M(z)$  is an entire function. Also, if  $\alpha(M) \leq 1$  then  $\theta_M(z)$  is identically zero.

**Proposition 1.5.** We have that

$$\zeta_M(z) = \theta_M(z) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{D^{z-k}} \sum_{j=0}^{D-1} d_{M,k}(j+\alpha(M))\zeta(z-k,\frac{j+\alpha(M)+1}{D}).$$

The function  $\zeta_M(z)$  is meromorphic with poles at most in the set  $\{1, \ldots, m\}$  which are all simple with residues

$$R_M(k+1) := Res_{z=k+1}\zeta_M(z) = \frac{1}{D}\sum_{j=0}^D d_{M,k}(j), \ 0 \le k \le m-1$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, therefore we will omite it. Also, the result could be derived from the proof of [6, Proposition 3.4(i)].

Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer and let

$$M(k) := \bigoplus_{n=-k}^{\infty} M_{n+k}.$$

Given a real number w > k, we consider the function

(1.11) 
$$\zeta_{M(k)}(z,w) := \sum_{n=-k}^{\infty} \frac{H(M,n+k)}{(n+w)^z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H(M,n)}{(n+w-k)^z} = \zeta_M(z,w-k).$$

Let  $a(S) := \deg(H_S(t))$  be the *a*-invariant of *S*. Assume *S* is Gorenstein. Then, according to [5, Proposition 3.6.11], the canonical module of *S*,  $\omega_S$  is isomorphic to S(a(S)). Consequently, we get  $\zeta_{\omega_S}(z, w) = \zeta_S(z, w - a(S))$ , where  $w > \max\{0, a(s)\}$ .

**Proposition 1.6.** Let S be a Cohen-Macaulay domain with the canonical module  $\omega_S$ . Then S is Gorenstein if and only if  $\zeta_{\omega_S}(z, w) = \zeta_S(z, w - a(S))$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\zeta_{\omega_S}(z, w) = \zeta_S(z, w - a(S))$  is equivalent to  $H_{\omega_S}(t) = t^{a(S)}H_S(t)$ . Hence, according to [5, Theorem 4.4.5(2)], this is equivalent to S is Gorenstein.

**Remark 1.7.** Assume that  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$  is the ring of polynomials with  $\deg(x_i) = a_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le r$ . The Hilbert series of S is

$$H_S(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - t^{a_1}) \cdots (1 - t^{a_r})},$$

hence  $a(S) = -(a_1 + \cdots + a_r)$ . It is well known that S is Gorenstein, therefore

$$\omega_S \cong S(a(S)) = S(-a_1 - \dots - a_r).$$

It follows that

$$\zeta_{\omega_S}(z,w) = \zeta_S(z,w+a_1+\cdots+a_r), \ (\forall)w > 0.$$

In the next section we will discuss the case of graded modules over S.

# 2. Graded modules over the ring of polynomials.

Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$  be a sequence of positive integers. In the following,  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$  is the ring of polynomials in r indeterminates, with  $\deg(x_i) = a_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le r$ . The restricted partition function associated to  $\mathbf{a}$  is  $p_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) :=$$
 the number of integer solutions  $(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$  of  $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i = n$  with  $x_i \ge 0$ .

For a kindly introduction on the restricted partition function we reffer to [2]. One can easily see that  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) = H(S, n), (\forall) n \ge 1$ , hence

(2.1) 
$$\zeta_S(z,w) = \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z,w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)}{(n+w)^2}$$

is the Zeta-Barnes function associated to the sequence a. We also have

(2.2) 
$$\zeta_S(z) = \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z) := \lim_{w \searrow 0} (\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, w) - w^z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)}{n^z}.$$

See [6] for further details on the properties of the function  $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Let M be a finitely generated graded S-module. Then:

(1)  $\zeta_M(z,w) := \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j\geq i} \beta_{ij}(M) \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z,w+j)$ , where  $\beta_{ij}(M) := \dim_K(Tor_i(M,K))_j$  are the graded Betti numbers of M and p is the projective dimension of M. (2)  $\zeta_M(z) = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j\geq \max\{i,1\}} \beta_{ij}(M) \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z,j) + \beta_{00}(M) \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let

(2.3) 
$$\mathbf{F}: 0 \to F_p \to \dots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0,$$

be the minimal free resolution of M. We have that  $F_i = \bigoplus_{j \ge 0} S(-j)^{\beta_{ij}}$ . By (2.1), Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 1.2, it follows that

$$\zeta_{F_i}(z,w) = \sum_{j \ge 0} \beta_{ij} \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z,w+j)$$

The result follows from Proposition 1.1 applied several times to the exact sequence (2.3). (2) By (2.1), it follows that

(2.4) 
$$\lim_{w \searrow 0} \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, j+w) = \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, j), \ (\forall) j \ge 1.$$

Using (2.2), (2.4) and (1) we get the required result.

The Bernoulli numbers  $B_{\ell}$  are defined by

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} B_j \frac{z^\ell}{\ell!},$$

 $B_0 = 1, B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}$  and  $B_n = 0$  if  $n \ge 3$  is odd. For k > 0 we have the Faulhaber's identity

$$1^{k} + 2^{k} + \dots + n^{k} = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \binom{k+1}{\ell} B_{\ell} n^{1+k-\ell}$$

The Bernoulli-Barnes polynomials  $B_{\ell}(x; a_1, \ldots, a_r)$  are defined by

$$\frac{z^r e^{xz}}{(e^{a_1 z} - 1) \cdots (e^{a_r z} - r)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} B_\ell(x; a_1, \dots, a_r) \frac{z^\ell}{\ell!}.$$

According to formula (3.9) in Ruijsenaars [8],

(2.5) 
$$Res_{z=\ell}\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z,w) = \frac{(-1)^{r-\ell}}{(\ell-1)!(r-\ell)!}B_{r-\ell}(w;a_1,\ldots,a_r), \ 1 \le \ell \le r.$$

The Bernoulli-Barnes numbers are defined by

$$B_\ell(a_1,\ldots,a_r) := B_\ell(0;a_1,\ldots,a_r)$$

The Bernoulli-Barnes numbers and the Bernoulli numbers are related by

$$B_{\ell}(a_1, \dots, a_r) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_r = \ell} {\ell \choose i_1, \dots, i_r} B_{i_1} \cdots B_{i_r} a_1^{i_1 - 1} \cdots a_r^{i_r - 1},$$

see Bayad and Beck [4, Page 2] for further details. According to [6, Theorem 3.10],

(2.6) 
$$Res_{z=\ell}\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z) = \frac{(-1)^{r-\ell}}{(\ell-1)!(r-\ell)!}B_{r-\ell}(a_1,\ldots,a_r), \ 1 \le \ell \le r.$$

Note that (2.6) can be deduced from (2.5).

**Corollary 2.2.** Let M be a finitely generated graded S-module and w > 0. Then

(1) 
$$R_M(w,\ell) = \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j\geq 0} \beta_{ij}(M) \frac{(-1)^{i+r-\ell}}{(\ell-1)!(r-\ell)!} B_{r-\ell}(w+j;a_1,\ldots,a_r), \ 1 \leq \ell \leq r.$$
  
(2)  $R_M(\ell) = \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j\geq 0} \beta_{ij}(M) \frac{(-1)^{i+r-\ell}}{(\ell-1)!(r-\ell)!} B_{r-\ell}(j;a_1,\ldots,a_r), \ 1 \leq \ell \leq r..$ 

*Proof.* The results follow from Proposition 2.1 and the formulas (2.5) and (2.6).

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$  be a sequence of positive integers,  $D = \operatorname{lcm}(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ . We consider the ideal  $I = (x_1^{\frac{D}{a_1}}, \ldots, x_r^{\frac{D}{a_r}}) \subset S$ . Note that I is an Artinian complete intersection monomial ideal generated in degree D, w.r.t. the **a**-grading. According to (2.2) and Corollary 1.4, we have

(2.7) 
$$\zeta_{S/I}(z,w) = \theta_{S/I}(z,w) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z,w+Dj).$$

On the other hand, one can easily check that

$$H_{S/I}(t) = \frac{(1-t^D)^r}{(1-t^{a_1})\cdots(1-t^{a_r})} = (1+t^{a_1}+\cdots+t^{a_1(\frac{D}{a_1}-1)})\cdots(1+t^{a_r}+\cdots+t^{a_r(\frac{D}{a_r}-1)})$$

is a reciprocal polynomial of degree  $Dr - a_1 - \cdots - a_r$ . The coefficient of  $t^n$  in  $H_{S/I}(t)$  equals to

$$f_{\mathbf{a}}(n) = \#\{(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r : a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_r x_r = n, \ 0 \le x_1 < \frac{D}{a_1} - 1, \dots, 0 \le x_r < \frac{D}{a_r} - 1\}$$

By (2.7) it follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{Dr-a_1-\dots-a_r} f_{\mathbf{a}}(n)(n+w)^{-z} = \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z,w+Dj).$$

See Rødseth and Sellers [7] for further details on the coefficients  $f_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$ .

**Example 2.4.** Let  $S = K[x_1, x_2]$  with  $\deg(x_1) = 2$ ,  $\deg(x_2) = 3$ . Let  $\mathbf{a} = (2, 3)$ . The polynomial  $f = x_1^3 - x_2^2 \in S$  is homogeneous of degree 6. Let R = S/(f). R has the minimal graded free resolution

$$(2.8) 0 \to S(-6) \xrightarrow{\cdot f} S \to R \to 0$$

It follows that the non-zero Betti numbers of R are  $\beta_{00}(R) = 1$  and  $\beta_{16}(R) = 1$ . Let w > 0. According to (2.1) and Corollary 1.3 (or (2.8) and Proposition 2.1(1)) we have

$$\zeta_R(z,w) = \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z,w) - \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z,w+6) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)}{(n+w)^z} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)}{(n+w+6)^z} = \sum_{n=0}^{5} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)}{(n+w)^z} + \sum_{n=6}^{\infty} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) - p_{\mathbf{a}}(n-6)}{(n+w)^z} = \frac{1}{w^z} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+w)^z} = \frac{1}{w^z} + \zeta(z,w+2).$$

In particular, the Hilbert series of R is

$$H_R(t) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} t^n = 1 + \frac{t^2}{1-t} = \frac{t^2 - t + 1}{1-t},$$

hence  $\alpha(R) = a(R) = 1$ . It follows that  $\theta_R(z, w) = \frac{1}{w^z}$ . Also,

$$\zeta_R(z) = \lim_{w \searrow 0} (\zeta_R(z, w) - \frac{1}{w^z}) = \zeta(z, 2) \text{ and } \theta_R(z) = 0.$$

### 3. The standard graded case

Let S be a standard graded K-algebra, that is  $S = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} S_n$ ,  $S_0 = K$  and  $S = K[S_1]$ . Let M be a finitely generated graded S-module. According to the Hilbert-Serre's Theorem, it holds that

(3.1) 
$$H_M(t) = \frac{h_M(t)}{(t-1)^m},$$

where  $h_M \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ ,  $m = \dim(M)$  and  $h_M(1) \neq 0$ . Also, there exists a polynomial  $P_M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  of degree m-1, such that

$$H(M,n) = P_M(n), \ (\forall)n \gg 0,$$

which is called the *Hilbert polynomial* of M.

The number  $e(M) := h_M(1)$  is called the *multiplicity* of the module M.

**Proposition 3.1.** If  $P_M(t) = d_{M,m-1}t^{m-1} + \cdots + d_{M,1}t + d_{M,0}$  is the Hilbert polynomial of M, then

$$\zeta_M(z,w) = \theta_M(z,w) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d_{M,k} \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} (-w)^\ell \zeta(z-k+\ell,\alpha(M)+w)$$

is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  with the poles in the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$  which are simple with residues

$$R_M(w,k+1) := Res_{z=k+1}\zeta_M(z,w) = \sum_{\ell=k}^{m-1} \binom{\ell}{k} (-w)^{\ell-k} d_{M,\ell}, \ 0 \le k \le m-1.$$

*Proof.* It is the particular case of Theorem 1.1 for  $\mathbf{a} = (1, \ldots, 1)$ .

Proposition 3.2. We have that

$$\zeta_M(z) = \theta_M(z) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d_{M,k} \zeta(z - k + \ell, \alpha(M) + 1)$$

is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb C$  with the poles in the set  $\{1,2,\ldots,m\}$  which are simple with residues

$$R_M(\ell+1) := Res_{z=\ell+1}\zeta_M(z) = d_{M,\ell}.$$

*Proof.* It is the particular case of Proposition 1.5 for  $\mathbf{a} = (1, \dots, 1)$ .

If dim  $M \ge 1$ , then we can write

(3.2) 
$$P_M(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k e_k(M) \binom{t+m-1-k}{m-1-k}.$$

According to [5, Proposition 4.1.9], we have

(3.3) 
$$e_k(M) = \frac{h_M^{(k)}(t)}{k!}, \ (\forall) 0 \le k \le m-1$$

Corollary 3.3. If  $m = \dim M \ge 1$ , then

$$e(M) = e_0(M) = (m-1)!d_{M,m-1} = (m-1)!R_M(m)$$

*Proof.* It follows from (3.2), (3.3) and Proposition 3.2.

The higher iterated Hilbert functions  $H_i(M, n)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , of a finitely generated S-module M are defined recursively as follows:

(3.4) 
$$H_0(M,n) := H(M,n), \text{ and } H_i(M,n) = \sum_{j=0}^n H_{i-1}(M,n), \ i \ge 1$$

The functions  $H_i(M, n)$  are of polynomial type of degree m + i - 1, hence

(3.5) 
$$H_i(M,n) = P_i(M,n) := d^i_{M,m+i-1}n^{m+i-1} + \dots + d^i_{M,1}n + d^i_{M,0}, \ (\forall)n \gg 0.$$

We define the higher Zeta-Barnes type functions associated to M as follows:

(3.6) 
$$\zeta_M^i(z,w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_i(M,n)}{(n+w)^z}, \ i \ge 0.$$

and

(3.7) 
$$\zeta_M^i(z) = \lim_{w \searrow 0} (\zeta_M^i(z, w) - H(M, 0) w^{-z}), \ i \ge 0.$$

Let

$$\alpha^{i}(M) := \min\{n_{0} \in \mathbb{N} : H_{i}(M, n) = P_{i}(M, n), (\forall)n \ge n_{0}\}.$$

We define

$$\theta_M^i(z,w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha^i(M)-1} \frac{H_i(M,n)}{(n+w)^z} \text{ and } \theta_M^i(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\alpha^i(M)-1} \frac{H_i(M,n)}{n^z}.$$

Proposition 3.4. With the above notations:

(1)  $\zeta_M^i(z,w) = \theta_M^i(z,w) + \sum_{k=0}^{m+i-1} d_{M,k}^i \sum_{\ell=0}^k {k \choose \ell} (-w)^\ell \zeta(z-k+\ell,\alpha^i(M)+w)$  is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb C$  with the poles in the set  $\{1,2,\ldots,m+i\}$  which are simple with residues

$$R_M^i(w,k+1) := Res_{z=k+1}\zeta_M(z,w) = \sum_{\ell=k}^{m+i-1} \binom{\ell}{k} (-w)^{\ell-k} d_{M,\ell}^i, \ 0 \le k \le m+i-1.$$

(2)  $\zeta_M^i(z) = \theta_M^i(z) + \sum_{k=0}^{m+i-1} d_{M,k}^i \zeta(z-k+\ell, \alpha^i(M)+1)$  is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  with the poles in the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, m+i\}$  which are simple with residues

$$R_M^i(k+1) := Res_{z=k+1}\zeta_M(z) = d_{M,k}^i, \ 0 \le k \le m+i-1.$$

*Proof.* Is similar to Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2.

**Corollary 3.5.** We have that  $e(M) = m! R_M^1(m+1)$ .

*Proof.* According to [5, Remark 4.1.6],  $H_1(M,n) = d^1_{M,m}n^m + \cdots + d^1_{M,1}n + d^1_{M,0}$ ,  $(\forall)n \gg 0$ , and  $e(M) = m!d^1_{M,m}$ . Now, apply Proposition 3.4(2).

**Remark 3.6.** Let  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$  and  $I \subset S$  a graded ideal. We say that S/I has a *pure resolution* of type  $(d_1, \ldots, d_p)$  if its minimal resolution is

$$0 \to S(-d_p)^{\beta_p} \to \dots \to S(-d_1)^{\beta_1} \to S \to S/I \to 0,$$

where p is the projective dimension of S/I,  $d_1 < d_2 < \cdots < d_p$  and  $\beta_i = \sum_{j\geq 0} \beta_{ij}(S/I)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , are the Betti numbers of S/I. According to Corollary 3.3,  $e(S/I) = R_{S/I}(m)$ , where  $m = \dim(S/I)$ . On the other hand, according to Corollary 2.2(2), we have

(3.8) 
$$R_{S/I}(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \beta_i \frac{(-1)^{r-m}}{(m-1)!(r-m)!} B_{r-m}(d_i; 1, 1, \dots, 1).$$

Suppose S/I is Cohen-Macaulay and has a pure resolution of type  $(d_1, \ldots, d_p)$ . According to [5, Theorem 4.1.15],

(3.9) 
$$\beta_i = (-1)^{i+1} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{d_j}{d_j - d_i} \text{ and } e(S/I) = \frac{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_p}{p!}.$$

The Ausländer-Buchsbaum formula [5, Theorem 1.3.3] implies p = r - m, hence (3.8) and (3.9) give the identity:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^{i+1} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{d_j}{d_j - d_i} B_p(d_i; 1, 1, \dots, 1) = (m-1)! (-1)^p d_1 d_2 \cdots d_p.$$

### 4. The non-graded case

Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m}, K)$  be a Noetherian local ring, where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the maximal ideal of S and  $K = S/\mathfrak{m}$  is the residue field. Let M be a finitely generated S-module, with  $m = \dim(M)$ , and let  $I \subset S$  be an ideal such that  $\mathfrak{m}^n M \subset IM$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . The associated graded ring is

$$\operatorname{gr}_{I}(S) = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \frac{I^{n}}{I^{n+1}} = \frac{S}{I} \oplus \frac{I}{I^{2}} \oplus \cdots$$

The associated graded module of M, with respect to I, is

$$\operatorname{gr}_I(M) := \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \frac{I^n M}{I^{n+1} M},$$

which has a structure of a  $gr_I(S)$ -module. According to [5, Theorem 4.5.6], it holds that

$$\dim(\operatorname{gr}_I(M)) = \dim(M) = m.$$

The Hilbert-Samuel function of M, w.r.t. I, is

$$\chi_M(n) := H_1(\operatorname{gr}_I(M), n) = \sum_{i=0}^n H(\operatorname{gr}_I(M), i) = \dim_K \frac{M}{I^{n+1}M}, \ (\forall)n \ge 0$$

The multiplicity of M with respect to I is  $e(M, I) := e(\operatorname{gr}_I(M))$ . For  $n \gg 0$ , according to [5, Remark 4.1.6], we have that

(4.1) 
$$\chi_M(n) = \frac{e(M,I)}{m!} n^m + \text{ terms in lower powers of } n.$$

We consider the functions

(4.2) 
$$\zeta_{M,I}^{i}(z,w) := \zeta_{\mathrm{gr}_{I}(M)}^{i}(z,w) \text{ and } \zeta_{M,I}^{i}(z) := \zeta_{\mathrm{gr}_{I}(M)}^{i}(z), \ i \ge 0.$$

Proposition 4.1. It holds that

$$e(M,I) = m!Res_{z=m+1}\zeta_{M,I}^1(z).$$

*Proof.* This follows from (4.1), (4.2) and Corollary 3.5.

### References

- M. F. Atiyah, I. G. Macdonald, Introduction to commutative algebra, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, (1969).
- [2] J. L. Ramirez Alfonsin, *The Diophantine Frobenius Problem*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications **30**, (2005).
- [3] E. W. Barnes, On the theory of the multiple gamma function, Trans. Camb. Philos. Soc. 19 (1904), 374-425.
- [4] A. Bayad, M. Beck, Relations for Bernoulli-Barnes Numbers and Barnes Zeta Functions, International Journal of Number Theory 10, (2014), 1321-1335.
- [5] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **39**, revised edition (1998).
- [6] M. Cimpoeaş, F. Nicolae, On the restricted partition function, Ramanujan J. 47, no.3 (2018), 565-588.
- [7] Ø. J. Rødseth, J. A. Sellers, Partitions with parts in a finite set, International Journal of Number Theory, Vol. 02, No. 03, (2006), 455-468.
- [8] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, On Barnes Multiple Zeta and Gamma Functions, Advances in Mathematics 156, (2000), 107-132.

SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, RESEARCH UNIT 5, P.O.BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST 014700, ROMANIA AND UNIVERSITY POLITEHNICA OF BUCHAREST, FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL METHODS AND MODELS, BUCHAREST, 060042, ROMANIA.

Email address: mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro

65